FISCAL ESTIMATE	LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No.				
DOA-2048 (R 10/94) ORIGINAL UPDATED	ATCP 10 and 12  Amendment No. (If Applicable)				
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	Analuman No. (II Applicable)				
Subject:					
Animal Health Fees					
Fiscal Effect					
State: No State Fiscal Effect	Increase Costs –				
Check below only if <b>bill</b> makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum	May be possible to absorb within				
sufficient appropriation.	agency's budget? Yes No				
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues					
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Decrease Costs				
Create New Appropriation					
Local:	5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected:				
No local government costs	Towns Villages				
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues	Counties Cities				
Permissive Permissive Mandatory	Other:				
Mandatory  4. Decrease Revenues	School Districts				
2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory	WTCS Districts				
Mandatory					
Fund Source Affected:	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:				
□GPR □ FED ☑ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	20.115(2)(ha)				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate					
Animal Health program operations are funded by a combination of general state tax dollars					
(79%), animal health fee revenues (19%) and federal funds (2%). This does <i>not</i> include federal funds that are					
passed through to program beneficiaries in the form of grants or other assistance.					
Recent state budgets have done the following:					
• Reduced annual GPR funding (general tax dollars) for animal health by nearly \$300,000.					
• Lapsed approximately \$130,000 in animal health fee revenue (one-time lapse) to the state general fund.					
Assigned more staff to be paid from animal health fee revenues.					
Program costs have increased as DATCP has filled critical animal health positions that had been held vacant.					
DATCP has only about 37 authorized permanent positions (field and office positions) for its entire animal health					
and disease control program, so it is important that key positions be filled.					
DATCP collects about \$300,000 in animal health fees each year, and projects fee revenue expenditures approaching \$600,000 each year.					
As a result of these combined factors, the positive cash balance in the animal health fee revenue account is declining rapidly. Even with the temporary funding assistance of \$125,000 in each year of the 2007-09 biennium DATCP projects a negative cash balance of					
\$85,000 in the animal health fee revenue account beginning in FY 2008, \$241,000 in FY 2009, \$545,00 in FY 2010 and \$877,000 in					
FY 2011.					
DATCP is proposing a fee increase to remedy this funding deficit and maintain critical disease control programs.					
DATCP has not raised animal health fees since 1999.					
(continued)					

Long - Range Fiscal Implications		
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
DATCP		
Melissa Mace (608) 224-4883		October 31,
, ,	Barbara Knapp, (608) 224-4746	2007

## Rule Content

This rule increases current animal health fees and creates some new fees. Among other things, this rule affects license fees, voluntary herd certification fees, fees for veterinary certification forms, and fees for voluntary certification of animal health professionals. Some of the fee increases may affect several sectors of the livestock industry, while others are limited to specific livestock sectors. The proposed fee increases are summarized in the "plain language analysis" that accompanies the rule.

State Fiscal Effect This rule will increase animal health fee revenues by approximately \$149,000 in FY 2008-09. When it is fully implemented beginning in FY 2009-10, it will increase annual fee revenues by approximately \$375,150. DATCP projects that this fee increase will stabilize animal health program staffing and funding through 2012, without further fee increases.

This rule will slightly increase costs for the Department of Natural Resources by eliminating the exemption of government agencies and research institutions from fish farm licensing requirements. These entities will now have to pay the same registration fees as other fish farm operators.

## Local Fiscal Effect

This rule will not have any impact on local units of government.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2000 SESS	
Detailed Estimate of Annual ORIGINAL UPDATED	LRB or Bill No/Adm. Ru	ule No. Amendment No.
Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	ATCP 10 and 12	
SUBJECT CORRECTED SUFFLEMENTAL		
Animal Health Fees		
I. One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do no	at include in conveniend fie	and affect).
Costs are recurring; see below.	n include in annualized lis	scar errect):
Costs are recurring, see below.		
II. Annualized Cost:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$0	<b>\$</b> - 0
2. (FTE Position Changes)	(0. 00 FTE)	(-0 FTE)
3. State Operations - Other Costs	\$0	- 0
5. State operations other costs	ΨΟ	· ·
4. Local Assistance		- 0
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations	0	- 0
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$ 0	\$ -0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
1. GPR	\$0	\$ -0
2. FED	0	- 0
3. PRO/PRS	0	- 0
4. SEG/SEG-S	0	- 0
III. State Revenues -  Complete his section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license ties)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> -0
GPR Faxes  GPR Earned	0	- 0
• FED	0	- 0
PRO/PRS	375,150	- 0
• SEG/SEG-S	0	- 0
TOTAL State Revenues	\$ 375,150	\$ - 0

DATCP		October 31, 2007
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ <u>375,150</u>	\$
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>0</u>	\$0
	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>

Melissa Mace (608) 224-4883

Barbara Knapp (608) 224-4746