DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 1 of 4

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 07-008 Hearinghouse Rule Number: 07-008			earing Location: Mailed Comments	
Rule Number: Chapter Comm67 Hearing Date:			Hearing Date:	
Relating to: Rental Unit Energy Efficiency Requirements				
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
1	Richard Gotz East Troy, WI	 a. Questions how title companies can give out certificates, i specifies that only the Department or an authorized municipathis function. b. Believes the upper and lower venting requirement is obsesshould be determined by the inspector for compliance on a c basis. c. Asks how you are to determine permanent residency. 	ality can do olete and ase-by-case	 a. By rule, title companies are not authorized to give out certificates. b. In addition to the traditional high/low venting method, the proposed code provides a flexible and efficient method for venting. c. The Department currently requests a driver's license or other formof identification to determine residency as it relates to owner occupancy exemptions.
2	Kent L. Schwanke Wisconsin Association of Home Inspectors Ripon, WI	 a. Suggests the 5-year compliance limitation be reinstituted without this the rules do not have an impact. Offers as an al when a rental property sells, it is required to meet the Rental Weatherization requirement each time it sells. b. Suggests a requirement be created to verify the heating equirement are in safe and energy efficient operating condition. c. Does not want to see the exemption, stipulation and wait fees disappear. Indicates that his organization is against raise compliance sticker fee as proposed. d. Indicates he would like to see the inspection fee limits be 	ternative, that uipment and ver application sing the	 a. The statutes mandating this energy efficiency program were changed in the late 90's to eliminate the 5-year reinspection for compliance and the department is required to comply with this change. b. There are not consistent safety and energy standards that could be applied to all of the types of buildings covered under these rules. c. The Department has reviewed the cost to administer this programand has determined that eliminating the stipulation and waiver fees and increasing the certification stamp fee will balance expenditures with revenue and streamline administrative procedures. d. The Department is required by state statutes to create a
		have been the same since the beginning of the program Our risen yet we cannot raise our fees. We understand there needs required fee limitation, but we need to see the fees raised. Ou an additional \$100 for each case. A suggestion would be the definitive "minimum" fee as well as a "maximum" fee. This wou inspector the freedom to determine his/her fees and keep composether. e. Indicates they are in agreement with the additional sugger relating to the technical requirements and feel these are good f. Suggests that an educational branch of the program be stated.	s to be a ar suggestion is at there is a ald give the petition close sted changes changes.	maximum fee limit but not a minimum fee. Raising the maximum fee would not stop inspectors from charging a fee lower than the maximum limit. The Department believes that market forces should drive minimum fees. e. Support noted. f. The Department welcomes and encourages opportunities

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 2 of 4

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 07-008			Hearing Location: Mailed Comments		
Rule Number: Chapter Comm67 Hearing Date			Hearing Date:		
Relating to: Rental Unit Energy Efficiency Requirements					
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
		would be based on education for realtors to be fully aware of and it's "ins and outs." We would be willing to help organise endeavor. g. Believes there needs to be a branch of the programthat is or enforcement of the deadbeats that is run on a state level, n for the individual counties. Believes there are thousands of properties that have never been forced to comply. This is a h future of the program	the "policing" of the local DA delinquent nuge itemfor the	g. The Department continues to work on eliminating the unsatisfied stipulations. Prior to the next transfer, such stipulations need to be resolved.	
3	John Rasmussen Green Bay, WI	 a. Believes the fees for stipulations should be raised, which may discourage the use of this process. Indicates that most distributors charge a counter fee, which is unregulated by the Department. Explains that if the fee is raised, the additional revenue would allow the Department to do more in the way of notification and enforcement of stipulation holders. Indicates it has been many years since the state has sent notices, questionnaires, or any type of request for compliance. b. Believes the change in price of the certification stamps will reduce the number of inspectors to do the work since their interest lies in making a profit and they now have to pay additional handling fees and state sales tax for the stamps and forms. If there are fewer inspectors, fewer inspections can be done in a given time period. c. Believes a minimuminspection fee should be created because many inspectors under charge for their services. d. Believes the weatherization program as we know it is much different from the original concept. Suggests that either 1) the programbe expanded and improved including recognizable and understandable purpose; or 2) 		 a. See response under 2. c. relating to fees. b. The inspectors may charge extra to offset their costs to purchase the certification stamps. There is no data available indicating that fewer inspectors will be doing inspections. c. See response under 2. d. d. The Department is not authorized to expand the program beyond the state statutes or discontinue it. 	
		develop a strategy to discontinue it altogether. e. Believes the weatherization programhas outlived its real strategy needs to be developed to bring it to an end. Over the years the open and unsatisfied stipulations should all be certified done forever.) f. Indicates as part of the strategy, we need to begin limiting properties are covered by the code. Suggests we begin by expressions are covered by the code.	the next 3-5 rtified/satisfied.	 e. See response under 2. g. f. The state statutes determine the application of this programand eliminating certain types of occupancies is not 	

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 3 of 4

Clearinghouse	Rule Number: 07-008		Hearing Location	n: Mailed Comments		
Rule Number: Chapter Comm 67			Hearing Date:			
Relating to: Re	Relating to: Rental Unit Energy Efficiency Requirements					
Comments:	Presenter,					
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response		
Exhibit No.	City and State					
		charitable organizations, single family homes and concentra	ate on the	within the Department's authority.		
		number of unsatisfied stipulations.				
		g. Explains over the past 20 years, thousands of Wisconsin property		g. See response under 2. g.		
		owners have complied with this code and suggests that it i	s only fair to			
		these owners that we follow through so that all properties	of record are in			
		the end certified.				

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 4 of 4

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 07-008			Hearing Location	g Location: Mailed Comments	
Rule Number: Chapter Comm67 Hearing Dat			Hearing Date:		
Relating to: Re	ental Unit Energy Efficiency Req	uirements			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
4	Art Luedtke WI Apartment Association Madison, WI	Indicates he is opposed to increasing the fees for the certification stamp and charging for the forms. Opposed to dropping the stipulation fee since at least 80% of rental units use the stipulation process at closings.		See response under 2. c. relating to fees. Forms may be printed fromour Web site at no charge. We arranged with the Department of Administration's Document Sales and Distribution unit to distribute the forms. They need to charge a fee for this service.	
5	John S. Mikrut Lake Geneva, WI 53147	 a. Believes the state should continue to charge the \$50.00 stipulation fee, or even raise it a little. In many cases the fee is the deciding factor for the buyers and sellers to bring the property into compliance prior to closing. Instead of entering into a stipulation and paying the \$50.00 they decide to do the work then receive the Certificate of Compliance. This is usually the case when there is very little work to do and it can be done before closing. If there is no fee, most people will just enter into the stipulation. Believes there will be more outstanding stipulation, because people will just forget about themnow since the state does not send out reminders anymore. b. Suggests the certificate of compliance stamps should remain at \$20.00 		 a. See response under 2. c. relating to fees. The Department of Commerce has reinstated the procedure of sending reminder letters to people who hold unsatisfied stipulations. b. See response under 2. c. relating to fees. 	
		instead of raising the cost to \$30.00. Believes with the costipulations at \$50.00 there would be no need to charge Indicates he is not in favor of having to pay for forms. c. Believes lifting the cap on inspector charges probably a difference to most inspectors. Believes his fee will not cof what you can charge. If you are way out of line with y not going to do any inspections.	for forms. would not make hange regardless	c. See response under 2. d.	