				-		2005 Session
						lo./Adm. Rule No.
	□ ORIGINAL	X	UPDATED			.155(1) and 293.02
	☐ CORRECTE	ED □	SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment	No. if Applicable
DOA-2048 N(R03/97)						
Subject Adjustment of thresholds for application of prevailing wage rates and payment and performance assurance requirements						
Fiscal Effect						
State: ☑ No State Fiscal Effect						
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.				☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No		
 ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Create New Appropriation 				☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: ☑ No local government costs						
. ☐ Increase Costs 3. ☐ Increase Revenues				5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	□ Pe	ermissive l	☐ Mandatory	☐ Towns	□ Villag	es 🗆 Cities
2. Decrease Costs		ease Revenue		☐ Counties	☐ Other	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	□ Pe	ermissive l	☐ Mandatory	☐ School Di		☐ WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate						
Under the rule, a state agency or works project that costs more than \$4 but less than \$209,000 is not covered because the adjustment of the thresh would have been covered by the prevalence of	41,000 but le I by the preva olds based c	ss than \$43 ailing wage r on inflation m	,000 or a multi- requirement. The nerely provided t	trade projecte e proposed i that the sam	t that costs rule will hav ne public wo	more than \$200,000 e no fiscal impact
Long-Range Fiscal Implications none						
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone N DWD/Elaine Pridgen 267-9403	o.)	Authorize	ed Signature/Tel	ephone No.		Date