



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Scott Grosz
Clearinghouse Director

Anne Sappenfield
Legislative Council Director

Margit Kelley
Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 19-152

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to “Manual” in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated December 2014.]

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

- a. In the rule caption’s listing of affected provisions, it is not necessary to repeat the source designation “A-E” after it has first been cited for each treatment. For example, the listing in the caption should be revised to: “to repeal A-E 9.05 (4) and (8); to renumber and amend A-E 9.05 (1) (a) and (c); to amend A-E 9.02 (2), 9.03 (1) (a) and (b), 9.04, 9.05 (7), and 9.06 (1), (5), and (6); to repeal and recreate A-E 9.05 (title); and to create A-E 9.025”. [s. 1.02 (1) (Example), Manual.]
- b. In the analysis for the proposed rule listing of statutes interpreted, it appears that “443.03” should be revised to “443.035”.
- c. In s. A-E 9.025, the definitions should be created in separate subsections, with introductory language of “In this chapter:”. [s. 1.01 (7), Manual.]
- d. In s. A-E 9.05 (1), the following changes should be made:
 - (1) The period that is shown after the stricken portion of the title “Scope of written examinations” should be removed. The period after the inserted title material is properly preserved and shown without underscoring. [s. 1.06 (4), Manual.]
 - (2) The word “subsection” should be revised to “sub.”. [s. 1.07 (2), Manual.]
- e. In s. A-E 9.05 (2), the phrase “for an examination under sub. (1)” should be inserted before “for each section”.

f. Why does the proposed rule repeal s. A-E 9.05 (8)? The agency could consider including an explanation of this repeal in the plain language summary for the proposed rule.