



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Scott Grosz
Clearinghouse Director

Terry C. Anderson
Legislative Council Director

Margit Kelley
Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 15-059

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to “Manual” in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated December 2014.]

1. Statutory Authority

Generally, the materials submitted by the Board to the Rules Clearinghouse have not been prepared in the form and style required by ss. 227.14 (1) and 227.15 (1), Stats. Before the Board proceeds further in the rule-making process, the proposed rule must be revised to conform to the form and style specified by the Manual. Of particular concern, the proposed rule must address the following items: inclusion of an appropriate enumeration of provisions treated by the rule; inclusion of an analysis that conforms to s. 227.14 (2), Stats.; arrangement of the rule text in SECTIONS, as described in s. 1.04 (1), Manual; and exclusion of unaffected sections of existing code from text of the proposed rule. Use of a “tracked changes” document is not sufficient to satisfy the form and style requirements under ss. 227.14 (1) and 227.15 (1), Stats.

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

- a. The proposed rule should be reviewed in its entirety to ensure that use of stricken and underscored text conforms to the style prescribed in s. 1.06, Manual.
- b. In s. UWS 11.015, use of punctuation should conform to s. 1.03 (3) and (4), Manual.
- c. In s. UWS 11.015 (2), use of slashed alternatives should be avoided. [See s. 1.01 (9), Manual.]
- d. Throughout the proposed rule, use of cross-references should conform to the style described in s. 1.07 (2), Manual.

e. In s. UWS 11.06 (1), renumbering of pars. (e) to (g) appears unnecessary. Could the board insert new material in that subsection as par. (dm)? Additionally, use of the phrase “no provision herein” is unclear and should be replaced with a more specific reference.