Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Suppleme	ental
LRB Number 19-4087/1	Introduction Number	SB-389	
Description authorizing the city of Prescott and the village of P	epin to become premier resort areas		
Fiscal Effect			
Appropriations Reven	ase Existing absorb within	agency's budg	
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrea	sive Mandatory Towns		⊠Cities
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 A	Appropriation	S
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature		Date
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266-8565	Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785 9/11/20		9/11/2019

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 9/11/2019

LRB Number	19-4087/1	Introduction Number	SB-389	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
authorizing the city of Prescott and the village of Pepin to become premier resort areas						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, a municipality or county may impose a "premier resort area" tax if the municipality or county meets certain criteria. In order to impose a premier resort area tax, a municipality or county must enact an ordinance or resolution declaring itself to be a "premier resort area." To be considered a premier resort area, at least 40% of the equalized value of the taxable property within the municipality or county must be used by tourism-related retailers.

The bill allows the city of Prescott (Pierce County) and the village of Pepin (Pepin County) to become premier resort areas notwithstanding the fact none of them meet the generally applicable requirement that at least 40 percent of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property within a political subdivision be used by tourism-related retailers. The designation as a premier resort area and the imposition of the premier resort area tax would require approval by voters at a referendum.

The state and local fiscal effect depend the decisions of local governments. The following scenario is for illustrative purposes. Using county sales tax collections data and commercial real estate values, along with population data from the Department of Administration, the department estimates a 0.5% tax would generate approximately \$188,000 in the city of Prescott and \$54,000 in the village of Pepin on an annual basis. The total distributions are estimated to be \$182,500 (\$188,000 * 97%) to the city of Prescott and \$52,000 (\$54,000 * 97%) to the village of Pepin.

The department's costs would be absorbed by the 3.0% of collections it would retain to administer this tax. The department estimates that the administrative fee would generate about \$7,300 (\$188,000 + \$54,000 * 3%) on an annual basis in this hypothetical scenario.

Administrative Costs

The department estimates one-time administrative costs of \$20,000 for updating the state's tax processing system to accommodate the new tax. This cost is only incurred if a premier resort area is created.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2019 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Up	odated	Supplemental		
LRB Number 19-4087/1	Introduction Numb	oer SB-389		
Description authorizing the city of Prescott and	the village of Pepin to become premier reso	ort areas		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impannualized fiscal effect):	pacts for State and/or Local Government	t (do not include in		
,	20,000 for updating the state's tax processin	ng system to accommodate		
the new tax	10,000 for aparating the state of tax processing	ig system to asseminodate		
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and F	Fringes \$	\$		
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organization	ons			
TOTAL State Costs by Categ	gory \$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Fund	ds			
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete th (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lic	is only when proposal will increase or decense fee, ets.)	ecrease state revenues		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes	\$	\$		
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$		
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	9		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	CHANGE IN REVENUE \$ see text			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266-8565 Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785 9,				