Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Upda	ated Corre	ected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 17-4815/1	Introduc	tion Number	SB-599				
Description Various changes to the Agricultural Producer Security Program, providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures, and granting rule-making authority							
Fiscal Effect							
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	☑Increase Existing Revenues □Decrease Existing Revenues		s - May be possible to agency's budget \B\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Counties Counties Districts WTCS Districts							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations							
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Sigr	nature	Date				
DATCP/ David Woldseth (608) 224-51	TCP/ David Woldseth (608) 224-5164		12/11/2017				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 12/11/2017

LRB Number	17-4815/1	Introduction Number	SB-599	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Various changes to the Agricultural Producer Security Program, providing an exemption from emergency rule						
procedures, and granting rule-making authority						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill makes changes to the Agricultural Producer Security Program, which is administered by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. The program is designed to minimize the risk that certain persons will default on their financial obligations to vegetable, dairy, or grain producers. Under the program, anyone purchasing grain, milk, or vegetables (for processing) from producers is required to be licensed by DATCP, and most licensees (contractors) must pay into the Agricultural Producer Security Fund (Fund). If a contractor defaults, the Fund reimburses a producer for a portion of the losses that the producer incurs as a result of the default.

More specifically:

The bill would exempt a person who procures vegetables that are grown for use in food processing from a vegetable producer, or the producer's agent, from requirements if the person spends less than \$15,000 per year on procuring vegetables. This change would reduce DATCP revenues by \$500 since this is a very small aspect of the current program.

The bill permits milk contractors and producers to enter into agreements to defer payment for milk up to 120 days and requires DATCP to promulgate rules. This change will increase revenues into the Fund, which is administered by the Department. The increase is due to additional default risk caused by allowing payments to be deferred for up to four months. The amount of the increase is indeterminate because it is not known how many producers will elect to defer payments or how much will be deferred. DATCP must also engage in rulemaking to develop the deferred payment assessment rate, but that rulemaking will not require additional staff or resources for the agency.

The bill combines the separate fund balances attributable to grain dealers and grain warehouse keepers into a single fund balance attributable to both. Since this merely changes how the fund balance is accounted for, it will neither increase nor decrease Department revenues or expenditures.

The bill allows DATCP to deny certain claims if producers do not also file claims in ongoing bankruptcy or state receivership proceedings. The bill also grants DATCP subrogation rights to seek reimbursement in these proceedings for amounts paid to producers from the Fund. Since the potential loss from any future defaults is unknown, the Department cannot project the fiscal impact of this provision.

Finally, the bill lowers the amount of security that may be required for deferred payments under certain circumstances; and it eliminates the need that certain statements be sworn and notarized. Both would have negligible effect on program costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Indeterminate. DATCP cannot know how many will participate in the deferred payment programs.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number 17-4815/1	RB Number 17-4815/1 Introduction Number SB-599						
Description Various changes to the Agricultural Producer Security Program, providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures, and granting rule-making authority							
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):							
DATCP does not anticipate any one-time costs or revenue impacts.							
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$					
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs							
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)							
_	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev					
GPR Taxes	\$	\$					
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	<u> </u>	\$					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DATCP/ David Woldseth (608) 224-5164	Jason Gherke (608) 224-4748	12/11/2017					