11hr\_SC-IH\_sb0504\_pt01



(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

# **WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ...** PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS

2011-12

(session year)

## Senate

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee on Insurance and Housing...

## **COMMITTEE NOTICES ...**

- Committee Reports ... CR
- Executive Sessions ... ES
- Public Hearings ... PH

# INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... Appt (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... **CRule** (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings) (ar = Assembly Resolution) (ab = Assembly Bill)

(sb = Senate Bill)

(sr = Senate Resolution)

(air = Assembly Joint Resolution)

\* Contents organized for archiving by: Stefanie Rose (LRB) (July 2012)

Miscellaneous ... Misc

(sjr = Senate Joint Resolution)

### Senate

### **Record of Committee Proceedings**

## **Committee on Insurance and Housing**

#### Senate Bill 504

Relating to: limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance.

By Senator Lasee; cosponsored by Representatives Steineke, LeMahieu, Stroebel and Jacque.

February 22, 2012 Referred to Committee on Insurance and Housing.

February 29, 2012 PUBLIC HEARING HELD

Present: (6) Senators Lasee, Schultz, Olsen, Wanggaard,

Carpenter and C. Larson.

Absent: (1) Senator S. Coggs.

Excused: (0) None.

#### Appearances For

- Tom Larson WI Realtors Ass'n
- Rep Duey Stroebel Rep 60th Assembly
- Tom Larson, Madison WI Realtors Assn
- Richard Stadelman WI Towns Ass'n

#### **Appearances Against**

- Curt Witynski League of WI Municipalities
- Kara Slaughter WI Farmers Union

#### Appearances for Information Only

• None.

#### Registrations For

- Rep Jim Steineke 5th Assembly
- Brad Boyds WI Builders Ass'n
- Rep Gary Bies 1st Assembly Rep

#### Registrations Against

• Mickey Beil — Dane Cty

#### Registrations for Information Only

• None.

February 29, 2012 **EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD** 

Present: (6) Senators Lasee, Schultz, Olsen, Wanggaard,

Carpenter and C. Larson.
(1) Senator S. Coggs.

Absent: (1) Se

Excused: (0) None.

#### March 9, 2012 **EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD**

Present: (7) Senators Lasee, Schultz, Olsen, Wanggaard,

Carpenter, S. Coggs and C. Larson.

Absent: (0) None. Excused: (0) None.

Noes:

Moved by Senator Lasee that **Senate Amendment 1** be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (4) Senators Lasee, Schultz, Olsen and Wanggaard.

Noes: (3) Senators Carpenter, S. Coggs and C. Larson.

ADOPTION OF SENATE AMENDMENT 1 RECOMMENDED, Ayes 4, Noes 3

Moved by Senator Lasee that **Senate Bill 504** be recommended for passage as amended.

Ayes: (4) Senators Lasee, Schultz, Olsen and Wanggaard.

(3) Senators Carpenter, S. Coggs and C. Larson.

PASSAGE AS AMENDED RECOMMENDED, Ayes 4, Noes 3

Tony Urso Committee Clerk

504

# Vote Record Committee on Insurance and Housing

Date: 2/29					
Moved by:	— Seconded b	y:			
AB SB_		Clearingh	ouse Rul	e	
A/S Amdt					
A/S Amdt	to A/S Amdt				
A/S Sub Amdt					
A/S Amdt					
A/S Amdt	to A/S Amdt		to A	/S Sub Amdt	
Be recommended for:  ☐ Passage ☐ Adoption ☐ Introduction ☐ Rejection	☐ Confirmation☐ Tabling	☐ Concurren☐ Nonconcu		□ Indefinite P	ostponement
Committee Member		<u>Aye</u>	No	<u>Absent</u>	Not Voting
Senator Frank Lasee, Cha	air				
Senator Dale Schultz					
Senator Luther Olsen					
Senator Van Wanggaard					
Senator Tim Carpenter					
Senator Spencer Coggs					
Senator Chris Larson					
	Totals	s: <u> </u>			

☐ Motion Carried

☐ Motion Failed

Senator Carpenter

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

(AYE)

NO

[MOTION 2]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

AYE

NO.

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AYE

(NO)

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

**AYE** 

(NO

Signed,

Lin Carpenter

3/9/12

ZNOON

TODAY

TO:

Senator Coggs

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466</u>:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 2]: To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

AYE

NO

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

**AYE** 

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

AYE

NO

Signed,

3.9-12

Date

PAGE 1 OF 1

Senator Lasee

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE

NO

[MOTION 2]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

(AYE)

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

AYE

NO

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

AYE

NO

Signed,

Frank Lasée

3/9/12

Data

Senator Larson

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE

NO

[MOTION 2]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate</u> Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

**AYE** 

NO

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

**AYE** 

NC

Signed,

3/9/12

Date

Senator Ćhris Larsor

Senator Olsen

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE

NO

[MOTION 2]: To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate

Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:



NO

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AY

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

AYE

NO

Signed,

Sénator Luther Olsen

3/9/12

Senator Schultz

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute</u> <u>Amendment 1 to</u> <u>Senate Bill 466:</u>

(AYE

NO

[MOTION 2]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate</u>

<u>Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466</u>:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

AYE

NO

**Senate Bill 504**. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

AYE

NO

Signed,

Friday, March 09, 2012

Senator Dale Schultz

Senator Wanggaard

FROM:

Senator Lasee.

Chair, Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

DATE:

March 9, 2012

RE:

Ballot votes for the committee on Insurance and Housing.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 25 (4) (am), the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing is voting by ballot on the motions below. Please review and record your vote by circling "AYE" or "NO". By circling "AYE" you indicate your approval of the motion. If this ballot is not returned to 316 South, State Capitol by Friday, March 9, 2012 at 12:00 p.m., you will be designated as not voting.

**Senate Bill 466**. Relating to miscellaneous landlord-tenant provisions and prohibiting a local government from imposing a moratorium on eviction actions:

[MOTION 1]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Substitute Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE\_

NO

[MOTION 2]: <u>To recommend adoption of Senate Substitute Amendment 1 as amended by Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 466:</u>

AYE

NO

[MOTION 3]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 466 as amended:

AYE

NO

Senate Bill 504. Relating to limiting the authority of a city, village, or town to enact a development moratorium ordinance:

[MOTION 4]: To recommend adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504:

AYE

NO

[MOTION 5]: To recommend Passage of Senate Bill 504 as amended by Senate Amendment 1:

AYE

NO

Signed,

Senator Van Wanggaard



# WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE





## Memorandum

To: Members, Wisconsin Legislature

From: Tom Larson, Vice President of Legal and Public Affairs

**Date:** January 21, 2012

Re: Development moratoria – regulatory framework (AB 562/SB 504)

The Wisconsin REALTORS® Association supports AB 562/SB 504, legislation that creates a regulatory framework for development moratoria.

#### **Background**

Development moratoria temporarily stop economic development activity. Generally, a development moratorium is a total or partial ban on various land use activities. In most cases, a development moratorium will temporarily freeze current land uses by prohibiting the issuance of zoning changes, subdivision plats or other approvals necessary for economic development. A development moratorium is essentially a "closed for business" sign telling property owners that the community will not even consider proposals for that particular type of economic development during the duration of the moratorium.

Development moratoria impact property values, jobs and economic development. Because moratoria impose bans on all or specific types of development, they virtually always have the effect of down zoning and decreasing the value of a property. The extent of value decrease depends on the type and duration of the moratorium. Moreover, by stopping a particular type of development activity for a period of time, a moratorium has a direct impact on job creation, as well as state and local revenues generated by the new development.

No regulatory framework for development moratoria currently exists in Wisconsin. Currently, Wisconsin law does not establish a regulatory framework for enacting development moratoria. Accordingly, the law is not clear as to (a) who can enact moratoria, (b) the purpose for which a moratorium can be enacted, (c) the duration of a moratorium, and (d) the process that must be followed when enacting a moratorium. As a result, property owners and the economic development community often suffer negative consequences resulting from development moratoria that are unreasonable in nature and/or duration.

#### The Bill

Similar to Wisconsin's Impact Fee Law, AB 562/SB 504 establishes a regulatory framework for development moratoria so that municipalities, property owners and the economic development community will know the rules going forward. Specifically, the bill contains the following provisions:

<u>Purpose</u>: Under the bill, a municipality may enact a moratorium for one of the following purposes:

1) Creating a comprehensive plan

2) Adopting a significant amendment to a comprehensive plan

3) A significant public health-related reason, as substantiated by a licensed health professional

4) A significant safety-related purpose, as substantiated by a licensed engineer.

5) A shortage or overburdening of public facilities

The reasons for enacting moratoria are limited to the ones stated above to ensure that such reasons are legitimate.

<u>Duration</u>: Under the bill, a moratorium remain in effect for up to 12 months, with an option to extend the moratorium for an additional 6 months if the community adopts a resolution.

<u>Process</u>: To ensure that the public and affected property owners are properly notified of the proposed moratorium, a municipality must adhere to the following process when enacting a moratorium:

1) Send out public notice (class 1 notice) informing the public of the proposed moratorium and its contents, as well as the time, date and location for the upcoming public hearing.

2) Hold a public hearing at least X days before the governing body votes on the proposed moratorium.

3) The governing body must adopt the moratorium by ordinance.

#### Conclusion

We urge you to support AB 562/SB 504. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us.



## **Wisconsin Towns Association**

### Richard J. Stadelman, Executive Director W7686 County Road MMM Shawano, Wis. 54166

Tel. (715) 526-3157 Fax (715) 524-3917

Email: wtowns@frontiernet.net

To Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing From: Richard J. Stadelman, Executive Director

Re: SB 504 regarding limiting municipal development moratorium authority

Date: February 28, 2012

On behalf of the Wisconsin Towns Association, I want to present the following information to the Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing in regard to SB 504, regarding limiting town, village, and city authority to adopt development moratorium ordinances.

Our Association does not oppose the adoption of a state statute to define the limits and authority of towns, villages, and cities to adopt development moratorium ordinances if the procedures and time limits are reasonable.

SB 504 will require the towns, villages, and cities to follow established strict procedures before adopting such a moratorium ordinance, which in the case of requiring a written report from a professional engineer stating the possible effect on public facilities or possible threat to public health or safety to justify the moratorium will be an <u>additional cost</u> for the municipality. In addition the time limit of an initial moratorium of 12 months maximum time extended by up to 6 months is much more limiting that practical experience for most towns, villages, and cities to adopt a comprehensive plan.

We would request that the <u>maximum time limit for a moratorium be extended to 18 months with possible extension of at least one six month extension for the purpose of completing a comprehensive plan or revision.</u> Keeping a limit of 12 months extended only by 6 months could result in some poorly prepared plans.

Lastly, we would ask for clarification in legislative intent that this proposed statute does not limit the towns, villages, and cities from adopting moratorium for licensing or permitting authorities. Sec. 1, line 4 to 6 on page 2 of the bill draft defines the development moratorium in terms of "land development, rezoning, issuing conditional permits, or any subdivision" approval under chapter 236. We would ask that language be added to clearly state that this section does not prohibit towns, villages, and cities from adopting moratoriums for reasonable times prior to adopting licensing or permitting ordinances for such activities as livestock siting, wind turbine siting, or frac sand mining operations. These types of operations can legally be regulated by towns, villages, and cities through licensing or permitting ordinances, but such ordinances take time to develop. We would ask that clear legislative intent, possibly through a Legislative Council memo that SB 504 does not limit moratorium for these types of licensing ordinances.

I would be happy to discuss these issues with any legislators. Thank you for your consideration.



# WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE





122 W. Washington Avenue Suite 300 Madison, Wisconsin 53703-2715

608/267-2380 800/991-5502 Fax: 608/267-0645

E-mail: league@lwm-info.org

www.lwm-info.org

To: Senate Committee on Insurance and Housing

From: Curt Witynski, Assistant Director, League of Wisconsin Municipalities

Date: February 29, 2012

Re: SB 504, Limiting Ability of Municipalities to Adopt Moratoriums on Development

The League of Wisconsin Municipalities opposes SB 504, strictly limiting municipal powers to impose temporary moratoriums on development.

Cities and villages currently have authority under their statutory home rule powers to adopt and enforce moratoriums on development. Wisconsin municipalities occasionally impose moratoria on development to preserve the status quo while updating or modifying planning and zoning regulations to address issues of change and growth.

For example, in 1998 the City of Lake Geneva relied on its broad statutory home rule powers to adopt an ordinance imposing a fourteen month moratorium on development along the Highway 50 gateway entrance to the City. The City was concerned that a proposed big box development would lead to further "suburban type sprawl" and exacerbate existing traffic problems. The purpose of the moratorium was to maintain the status quo while the City conducted traffic control studies and considered updating its comprehensive plan and zoning ordinances. When developers challenged the ordinance in court, the Walworth County Circuit Court upheld it as a reasonable exercise of the city's police power.

SB 504 places strict restrictions on when and under what circumstances a municipality can adopt an ordinance temporarily freezing development. For example, the bill does not allow a community to enact a development moratorium to address threats to the community's general welfare, only to address concerns over public health and safety, and shortages in public facilities. However, nearly every other statute authorizing local governments to regulate land development activities recognizes the ability of local governments to regulate development for the general welfare of the community in addition to public health and safety.

The bill places unnecessary and expensive procedural requirements on municipalities seeking to suspend development within their community for a reasonable period of time. The bill removes the flexibility municipalities have under current law to respond to new conditions, unanticipated demands on services, or the concerns citizens may have regarding proposed developments.

We urge you to vote against SB 504. Thanks for considering our comments.



2.5. issuing conditional
use parmits

P.5-18 = ora conditional use
strike parmittee line
sub with
p5-20 subdivision plat
p 4.20 too open ended to
restrict it to a
total of 18 months

OR , TO	Urgent		
ATE 2 28	TIME H: 45 PM		
Post Ost	u Were Out		
1000	own.		
DF	Park & LA		
PHONE AREA CODE	NUMBER EXTENSION		
TELEPHONED	PLEASE CALL		
CAME TO SEE YOU	WILL CALL AGAIN		
RETURNED YOUR CALL	WANTS TO SEE YOU		
MESSAGE			
501			
35 , Dal			
- /	aloso, s		
	6 1300		
75			