

### Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>11-1542/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-116</b>
<b>Description</b> The dates of the September primary and certain other election occurrences and absentee voting	
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>	
<b>State:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
<b>Local:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS s.20.395	
<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>	
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>	<b>Authorized Signature</b>
DOT/ Vicki Harkins (608) 266-1449	Stephanie LaSage (608) 267-3703
<b>Date</b>	
11/22/2011	

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 11/22/2011

LRB Number	11-1542/1	Introduction Number	SB-116	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> The dates of the September primary and certain other election occurrences and absentee voting					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### BILL SUMMARY

Under current law, as created in 2011 Wisconsin Act 23, the Department of Transportation may not charge a fee to an applicant for the initial issuance, renewal, or reinstatement of an identification card (ID) if the applicant is a U.S. Citizen who will be at least 18 years of age on the date of the next election and the applicant requests the ID card be issued at no charge for purposes of voting. All applicants for duplicate ID cards are charged the \$16.00 duplicate card fee.

This proposal would require DOT to issue duplicate ID cards under this same fee exception.

#### ASSUMPTIONS

This proposal would provide duplicate ID cards at no cost if applicant requests it for purposes of voting and the applicant is a U.S. Citizen who will be at least 18 years of age on the date of the next election.

DOT website and ID card application form will require minor revisions to include the duplicate ID card option.

Following enactment of 2011 Wisconsin Act 23, DMV made programming changes to the ID card issuance system and the online duplicate issuance system to include the ability to offer ID cards at no cost to those applicants who qualify. The option for offering a no cost duplicate license was programmed at that time, but not activated, on the assumption that duplicate ID cards would eventually be included under the same fee exemption. IT costs to activate the duplicate option are estimated at \$340.

DMV assumes up to 92% of all ID cards could be requested and issued free of charge. With current demographics, 5% of ID cards are issued to children and 2.5% are issued to resident aliens, none of whom is eligible for a free ID card. Additionally, DOT assumes 0.5% of eligible applicants will choose to pay the fee because they do not request the card for voting purposes. This bill will result in DMV issuing 92% of duplicate ID cards free of charge with an estimated loss in revenue of \$463,341.

DMV assumes that making free duplicate ID cards available will not increase demand for duplicate ID cards. However, for each 10% increase in annual demand, DMV will lose \$50,352 in anticipated revenue.

#### CONCLUSIONS

DMV will need to make minor revisions to the DOT website and the ID card application form, reprinting some forms.

Current ID card issuance system and online duplicate application will have to be activated at an estimated one time cost of \$340.

Using these assumptions, this proposal should have an indeterminate, minimal increase of costs to DOT.

The bill will result in lost revenue currently collected for duplicate ID cards. In 2010, DOT issued 31,477 duplicate ID cards at \$16.00 each. If we assume that 92% of duplicate ID cards will be issued free of charge, this bill will result in an estimated loss of revenue totalling \$463,341.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 11-1542/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> SB-116	
<b>Description</b> The dates of the September primary and certain other election occurrences and absentee voting			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>  IT programming costs-\$340.00			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$		\$
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs	340		
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$340</b>		<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S	340		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes	\$		\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			-463,341
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>		<b>-\$463,341</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$340		\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	-\$463,341		\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DOT/ Vicki Harkins (608) 266-1449		Stephanie LaSage (608) 267-3703	11/22/2011