Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Supplemental				
LRB Number 11-1615/1 Introduction Number AB-0078					
Description A study requirement with respect to certain proposed new state facilities					
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Reve	lncrease Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget enues The content of the co				
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Others School Districts					
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS s. 20.505(5)(ka)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date				
DOA/ Cathleen Connolly (608) 261-2292	Dawn Soletski (608) 266-6497 4/15/2011				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 4/15/2011

LRB Number 11-1615/1	Introduction Number	AB-0078	Estimate Type	Original	
Description A study requirement with respect to certain proposed new state facilities					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

2011 Assembly Bill (AB) 78 provides that the Building Commission may not approve a project for a new enclosed state facility until it receives a consolidation study from the Department of Administration (DOA). The bill directs DOA, at the request of the Building Commission, to perform a consolidation study on any proposed new enclosed state facility that would examine existing state facilities within 30 miles of the site of the proposed facility, the suitable enclosed space within the existing facilities, the age of those facilities, and the land surrounding those facilities that would be available for expansion.

Current DOA staff in the Division of State Facilities (DSF) could absorb any additional workload generated by AB 78. The Building Commission generally approves 20 to 30 building projects each biennium. DSF currently maintains a database of existing state facilities which could be manipulated to review state facilities within a certain proximity.

The Building Commission currently receives a report from DSF staff on any proposed project. Routinely, although not in every case, the report addresses alternatives to building a new facility. DOA does not anticipate that the bill would cause any delay or increase costs in Building Commission review and decision making.

It is not possible to specifically identify any potential savings from implementing the provisions of AB 78. The fiscal effect is indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Indeterminate.