# Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental			
LRB N	lumber	05-3685/2		Introd	duction Nu	umber	SB-403	,			
Description Carrying a concealed weapon, possessing or transporting a firearm under certain circumstances, background checks for handgun purchases, photographic identification cards for retired law enforcement officers, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, providing an exemption from rule-making authority, and providing penalties											
⊠Ind	o State Fisca determinate Increase Ex Appropriation Decrease E Appropriation	kisting ons Existing	Reven Decrea Reven	ase Existing	to	crease Cos absorb with Yes ecrease Cos	nin agency				
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  School Districts											
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS s.20.475(1)(d)											
Agency/	Prepared B	у	A	uthorized S	ignature			Date			
DA/ Stu Morse (608) 267-2700			Gail Riedasch (608) 267-3836				11/9/2005				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 11/9/2005

LRB Number	05-3685/2	Introduction Number	SB-403	Estimate Type	Original	
D						

#### Description

Carrying a concealed weapon, possessing or transporting a firearm under certain circumstances, background checks for handgun purchases, photographic identification cards for retired law enforcement officers, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, providing an exemption from rule-making authority, and providing penalties

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

It is very difficult to assess the exact increase in District Attorneys offices' workloads were this bill to pass. It is clear, however, that it cause some increase in workload. The magnitude of the increase would depend on two factors:

First, the workload impact on DA offices would be affected by the number of cases referred to the DA office that would be generated solely by the new penalties included in the bill regarding the licensing of concealed weapons.

Second, and the serious factor, are the additional potential criminal cases, wholly unrelated to these created in the bill, which would have not otherwise arisen but for the existence of the legally licensed concealed weapon being in possession of a particular person in a particular place at a particular time. Presumably if this bill became law, whenever a concealed weapon is used or even brandished by a person (who is lawfully allowed to carry it only as a result of the passage of this bill), law enforcement would be legally obligated to investigate that usage and determine if it were legal. The DA office would be involved in all these investigations. This additional workload would include determinations by the DA office as to whether the use or blandishment of the legally concealed weapon was justified or was a crime. In those cases where the DA office determined that it was a crime, it would then be legally obligated to prosecute those crimes. Given the highly lethal nature of the concealed weapons permitted to be carried by this bill, the district attorneys' reviews of the instances of the use or brandishing of the concealed weapons could well be very detailed and time consuming. Further, it is likely that any usages of the legally concealed weapon that the DA office determines were crimes and should be charged as such, would be very serious felonies, which are time consuming to prosecute.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

If this bill is enacted, as data become available on the actual magnitude of the two factors discussed above, a determination should be made as to whether additional prosecutorial resources will be needed.