



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

CTS 11/2/2005

LRB Number	<b>05-3685/2</b>	Introduction Number	<b>SB-403</b>	Estimate Type	<b>Original</b>
<b>Description</b> Carrying a concealed weapon, possessing or transporting a firearm under certain circumstances, background checks for handgun purchases, photographic identification cards for retired law enforcement officers, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, providing an exemption from rule-making authority, and providing penalties					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill establishes a licensing system that would allow a person to carry a concealed weapon under certain circumstances.

#### Impact on CCAP

Among the bill's provisions is a requirement that the circuit courts, either through the Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP) or through the clerks of circuit court or registers in probate, provide information to the Department of Justice (DOJ) on court proceedings that would disqualify a person from being a permit holder or would require suspension or revocation of an existing license.

The bill requires CCAP to provide prompt notice to DOJ of charges filed and of convictions in several categories of cases, if that information is contained in CCAP and can be transmitted by CCAP to DOJ. In the event the information is not contained in CCAP or cannot be transmitted to DOJ, then the responsibility for notification shifts to the clerks of circuit court or to the registers in probate. The bill also requires juvenile court clerks to notify DOJ of certain juvenile court decisions.

To comply with the requirements of this bill, CCAP will be required to: 1) determine what software changes will be needed to collect the required information and program those changes into the CCAP system; and 2) design, develop and test an interface to send the required information to the Department of Justice.

We estimate that CCAP would expend \$253,000 in one-time costs. The costs include: 520 hours for a project manager to coordinate CCAP changes; six months for a contract programmer's time to develop the CCAP software changes; nine months for a contract programmer's time to develop the DOJ interface; and additional staff time to determine what case management changes are required and how to best capture the information and enter the information into the revised CCAP case management system.

These cost estimates do not include any clerks of circuit court and registers in probate time for collecting and entering additional information, or any ongoing CCAP costs of maintaining the interface. The time and cost estimates also assume that DOJ would be available to work with CCAP on developing the interface.

This estimate also does not include costs that may be sustained by Portage County, which only uses the CCAP case management system for probate cases and would be unable to use an interface with DOJ for other types of cases.

#### Impact on Circuit Courts

This bill also contains a right to judicial review by the circuit courts for those persons denied permits or those who have their permits suspended or revoked. In October 2003, in a memo related to 2003 Senate Bill 214, the Legislative Fiscal Bureau estimated there may be 37,800 applications for licensure in the first year and 14,500 applications annually in succeeding years. It is not known what percentage of applications may be denied or what percentage of applicants may have their licenses suspended or revoked.

For purposes of this fiscal estimate, it is assumed that five percent of applicants would bring an appeal to circuit court. That would result in 1890 appeals to circuit court of denied applications in the first year. In succeeding years, that would result in 725 appeals of denied applications and an unknown number of appeals of suspensions or revocations.

Additional proceedings require additional judge, court reporter, and court staff time. These costs are borne by both the state and the county. An accurate estimate of the additional costs is impossible with the data available.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>05-3685/2</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-403</b>	
<b>Description</b> Carrying a concealed weapon, possessing or transporting a firearm under certain circumstances, background checks for handgun purchases, photographic identification cards for retired law enforcement officers, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, providing an exemption from rule-making authority, and providing penalties		
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>  This bill will require \$253,343 and 2.33 FTE in one-time costs for the Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP). This involves salaries and fringes of \$250,543 and supplies of \$2,800. The funds are \$13,975 GPR and \$239,368 PRO.		
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>	<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
	Increased Costs      Decreased Costs	
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)</b>		
	Increased Rev      Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes	\$	
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>		
	<u>State</u> <u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> <b>Authorized Signature</b> <b>Date</b>		
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733		Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733
		11/2/2005