Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

☑ Original	Updated	Corre	ected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 05-35	558/1	Introduction	on Number	SB-379				
Description The regulation, preservation, and restoration of historic buildings, the supplement to the federal historic rehabilitation tax credit and the state historic rehabilitation tax credit, requiring the certification of downtowns, promoting certain downtown areas in this state, highway projects involving business and downtown areas, the construction of major highway projects involving a bypass, granting rule-making authority, and making appropriations.								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Incr Rev Dec Rev	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues		s - May be possible in agency's budget No				
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ 1.☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts ☐ Districts ☐ Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signatu	ure	Date				
DOA/ Nancy Foss (608) 266-5877		Martha Kerner (608)	Martha Kerner (608) 266-1359					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 10/24/2005

LRB Number	05-3558/1	Introduction Number	SB-379	Estimate Type	Original
Description					

The regulation, preservation, and restoration of historic buildings, the supplement to the federal historic rehabilitation tax credit and the state historic rehabilitation tax credit, requiring the certification of downtowns, promoting certain downtown areas in this state, highway projects involving business and downtown areas, the construction of major highway projects involving a bypass, granting rule-making authority, and making appropriations.

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

For the Department of Administration, the bill's provisions that relate to support of the Building Commission or the leasing of facilities are those considered in this estimate.

The bill would require downtown locations for new state office buildings for certified Main Street communities unless the cost of locating downtown is more than 10% greater than the average cost of sites in the geographic service that are not downtown. Few new buildings may be expected in the foreseeable future and the analysis on such cost can be done as part of capital budget reviews. Thus, the agency would absorb the cost of the analysis. It is not possible to determine whether any particular downtown location might cost more or less than the average cost measure defined in the bill until specific facilities are being located.

The bill would also require the Building Commission not to approve leases for state office facilities to be located outside of downtown for certified Main Street communities unless the cost of locating downtown is more than 10% greater than the average cost for facilities in the geographic service area outside downtown. DOA leasing staff conducted an analysis of present leases in Main Street areas, based on a 10% higher cost rate, and estimated this provision might cost an additional \$133,600 in lease costs to be paid by state agencies. Actual costs, however, would depend on the actual leases and their terms. Additionally, if all applicable offices would have to relocate as a result of the bill's provisions on leases, the moving costs might be another \$209,200.

Local costs are unknown.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.