### Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	05-1474/1		Introd	luction Num	ber S	B-15	
Subje	ct							
Ethan	ol requiremer	nts in gasoline						
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Revo	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to abs	ase Costs sorb within Yes ease Costs	n agency's	
	Indeterminate  1. Increase Permiss  2. Decrease Permiss	e Costs sive	3. lncre ory Pern 4. Decr	ease Revenue nissive	datory To	of Local nment Uni wns [ ounties [ chool [ stricts	its Affecte Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities 0
Fund S	Sources Affe		]PRS 🛛	SEG 🔲 SE	<b>Affected Ch</b> GS 20.143 (3) (r		ropriation	ıs
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized S	ignature			Date
COMM/ Landon Williams (608) 267-9382 Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629							1	/27/2005

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 1/28/2005

LRB Number <b>05-1474/1</b>	Introduction Number	SB-15	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Ethanol requirements in gasoline				

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill creates a requirement that all automotive gasoline sold at retail locations in Wisconsin contain a minimum of 9.2% and a maximum of 10% ethanol with certain exceptions. The bill also requires the Department to promulgate emergency rules regarding enforcement and administration of these provisions.

The Department administers ss. 168, and sets standards in Comm 48 establishing minimum product grade specifications for gasoline-alcohol fuel blends and other forms of gasoline and kerosene. Currently, the Department has 29 petroleum product inspectors located at 12 district and sub-district labs throughout the state. These inspectors conduct sampling and testing of petroleum product at both the terminal and at retail locations. In FY 04, the Department collected samples of petroleum products for inspection at 3,303 retail locations. The Department has internally estimated that it takes an average of approximately 11.5 hours to perform a full inspection, including lab analysis, data entry and enforcement.

Under this bill, the Department would be required to test for the percentage of ethanol at all retail locations to ensure compliance. The Department estimates that it can perform the necessary inspections with its current number of inspectors. However, the Department does not currently test for the amount of ethanol present in petroleum products. Currently, the Department performs a distillation test to test for the presence of ethanol. If the Department is to sample and test fuels for the percentage of ethanol present according to ASTM standards, the Department will need to purchase new IROX 2000 gasoline analyzers at an estimated cost of \$27,000 per unit. The Department has 12 district and sub-district labs throughout the state. It is estimated that ten units would be necessary to ensure compliance with the bill's requirements. Ten units would cost approximately \$270,000.

As the Department has existing administrative structures and procedures in place for developing and administering new administrative rules, the costs of promulgating emergency rules required under this bill will be absorbed within existing resources.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Gasoline analyzing equipment will require long term maintenance, calibration and specific supplies, such as certain chemicals to operate. For ten IROX 2000 units, long term maintenance, calibration and supplies are estimated at \$2,000 per unit or \$20,000 in total annualized costs.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number <b>05-1474/1</b>	Introduction Number	er SB-15					
Subject							
Ethanol requirements in gasoline							
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Government	t (do not include in					
Purchase of gasoline analyzing equipment a district and sub-district labs = \$270,000.	at \$27,000 per unit, ten units minim	num for coverage in 12					
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$						
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs	20,000						
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$20,000	\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Bengapapa Sylistic						
GPR							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S	20,000						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in l	icense fee, ets.)						
GPR Taxes	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev					
GPR Earned	<b>\$</b>	<u> </u>					
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$					
NEI ANNUA	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
NET CHANCE IN COCTO	State	Local					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$20,000	\$					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
COMM/ Landon Williams (608) 267-9382	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629	1/27/2005					
. , ,	(000) 200 0020	1/2//2000					