## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected			Supple	emental	
LRB	Number	05-0632/2		Intro	duction N	umber	AE	3-74		
Subjec	et									
Exemp	ting from tax	ation retirement	plan income							
Fiscal	Effect									
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Increase I Revenues Decrease Revenues	Existing	ab	crease Cos sorb withir Yes ecrease Co	n age s		possible to udget No	
	Indeterminate  I.  Increase Permiss  2.  Decrease	e Costs sive∭Mandator	4. Decrease	e Mand Revenue	Uni Datory	pes of Loca its Affected Towns Counties School Districts		vernmer Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities	
Fund S	Sources Affe PR  FED		PRS SEC	S S	Affecte EGS	d Ch. 20 A	Appro	opriatio	ns	
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized	Signature				Date	
DOR/ Kirstin Nelson (608) 261-8984 Rebec					cca Boldt (608) 266-6785 2/14/2005					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 2/14/2005

LRB Number	05-0632/2	Introduction Number	AB-74	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject	And the second s								
Exempting from taxation retirement plan income									

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, the pension benefits of certain public employees are exempt from state taxation. Pension plans that are exempt from taxation include the following: U.S. Civil Service or military, Milwaukee city and county, Milwaukee police officers and public school teachers, Wisconsin state teachers retirement fund, and the sheriff's annuity and benefit fund of Milwaukee county.

This bill would exempt from taxation up to \$2,500 of income received from a retirement plan in tax year 2006 if the income is not already exempt from taxation. The maximum allowable exemption would increase from \$2,500 to \$5,000 in 2007, \$10,000 in 2008, \$15,000 in 2009, and \$20,000 in 2010 and thereafter.

Based on a simulation using the 2003 Individual Income Tax model, adjusted for 2005 law, allowing an exemption up to \$2,500 for each person with retirement income would reduce taxes for about 353,000 filers and decrease state income tax revenues between \$23 million and \$36 million for fiscal year 2006. A range, rather than a specific amount, is estimated because it is not possible to tell from information reported in tax returns whether one or both spouses receive pension distributions when a couple reports such income. If it is assumed one spouse receives all the pension income, the revenue loss would be \$23 million; if both spouses receive pensions, the loss would be \$36 million. Revenue losses have been converted to represent fiscal years, rather than taxable years, because of the use of estimated tax payments by some taxpayers. `

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Performing similar simulations for fiscal years 2007-2010 allowing for the annual increase in the maximum allowable exemption, results in a decrease in state income tax revenues of \$73 million to \$111 million in 2007, \$126 million to \$181 million in 2008, \$180 million to \$245 million in 2009, and \$221 million to \$284 million annually in 2010 and thereafter.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

×	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-0632/	2	Ir	ntro	duction No	umbe	r A	\B-74		
<u></u>	oting from tax	cation retireme		1 1		and Covern		4	A implicate in		
	lized fiscal (	or Revenue li effect):	ilpacts for 5	tate and	J/OI L	ocai Govern	iment (	uo no	t include in		
II. Anr	nualized Cos	its:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
			distribution of		1147	Increased Co	osts		Decreased Costs		
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category					Ay STATE				
Stat	e Operations	- Salaries and	d Fringes				\$	\$			
(FTI	E Position Ch	nanges)				p					
Stat	e Operations	- Other Costs						- 4174			
Loca	al Assistance										
Aids	to Individua	ls or Organiza	tions								
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory				\$	\$			
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds			NAME OF THE PARTY					
GPF	3										
FEC	)				-	a. Salah g			Al a, the second		
PRO	D/PRS										
SEC	S/SEG-S							3 M. J.			
		s - Complete t , decrease in			osal v	will increase	or dec	rease	state revenues		
						Increased I	Rev		Decreased Rev		
	R Taxes					¥*;	\$		\$		
a a sa la sa l	R Earned				111111						
FEC	·										
	D/PRS										
	S/SEG-S		***************************************								
<u> </u>  T	OTAL State	Revenues		<u> </u>			\$		\$		
			NET ANNUA	LIZED F	-ISC/	AL IMPACT					
						<u>S</u> 1	tate		Local		
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$SeeT	ext	\$				
			-								
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authori	zed S	Signature			Date		
DOR/ Kirstin Nelson (608) 261-8984 Reb				Rebecc	ebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 2/				2/14/2005		