Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

×	Original		Updated		Corrected	Supple	emental			
LRB	Number	05-3399/1		Introd	duction Number	AB-618				
Subje c		nt systems and s	afety belt use require	ements						
State:	No State Fisca Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	e Existing tions Existing	Increase Exis Revenues Decrease Ex Revenues	•	☑ Increase Cos absorb within ☑ Yes ☑ Decrease Co	agency's buc s				
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decreas Permiss	e Costs sive Mandator se Costs sive Mandator	3. Increase Revy Permissive 4. Decrease Revy Permissive	Manda venue	© School		Cities			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS										
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу	Autho	orized S	ignature		Date			
SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259 Krista				Ginger	8/26/2005					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 8/29/2005

LRB Number	05-3399/1	Introduction Number	AB-618	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject									
Child safety restraint systems and safety belt use requirements									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) provides legal representation in criminal cases and other specified proceedings in which the loss of personal liberty or parental rights may occur. For adult clients, the SPD must complete a financial eligibility evaluation before appointing an attorney. SPD services are constitutionally required because in the case types handled by SPD, a defendant without the financial means to hire an attorney has the right to have an attorney appointed. Therefore, any bill that would increase the number of cases handled by SPD would increase SPD costs.

Although this bill does not create a new criminal offense for which SPD will be required to appoint attorneys, it does expand the definition of the forfeiture offenses for which police may stop motorists, upon reasonable suspicion of a violation. If there are increased numbers of traffic stops, a high probability exists that some of the traffic stops will yield evidence of criminal offenses, such as operating while intoxicated (second or subsequent offense) or possession of a controleed substance.

Thus, SPD is likely to see increased costs from an increased number of cases (again, not from the safety-restraint violation itself, but from criminal charges stemming from the initial stop in some cases).

Counties are likely to incur additional costs for appointment of attorneys under State v. Dean for defendants who do not meet SPD's strict financial criteria. Incarceration costs will also increase for defendants held in jail (both pending court hearings and serving sentences).

We are not aware of any existing data to predict the number of new cases or the additional attorney time resulting from this bill, but we could track these numbers in the future.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications