Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppl	emental
LRB	Number	05-1869/1		Intro	duction N	lumber	AB-10	5
Subjec Contra		procurements				·		
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	Existing Lions Existing	Revenu Decreas Revenu	se Existing		Increase Co to absorb w XYe Decrease C	rithin agenc s	
	ndeterminate I. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs ive∭Mandato	3. Increase ry Permiss 4. Decrease	sive∏Mar se Revenu	ndatory e	Types of Loc Sovernment Towns Counties School Districts	Units Affect Units Affect	e Cities s <u>0</u> S
Fund S	Sources Affe R		PRS SE	G 🔲 SE	Affecte EGS s.20.50	ed Ch. 20 A 05(1)(kf) in I	• • •	ons
Agency	y/Prepared I	Зу	Αι	ıthorized (Signature			Date
DOA/ Mary Massey (608) 267-2099 Mart				artha Kerne	na Kerner (608) 266-1359 2/25/2005			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 2/28/2005

LRB Number 05-1869/1	Introduction Number	AB-105	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Contractual service procurements				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

If enacted this bill would require a cost-benefit analysis be completed for each bid or RFP to compare the cost of contracting for services versus providing the services with state employees.

The Department of Administration issued 279 contracts for services in FY04. This figure includes contracts over \$25,000 but does not include any state-wide contracts issued by the State Bureau of Procurement. According to the Contractual Services Purchasing Report, July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, issued on October 15, 2004, DOA's FY04 contracts totaled \$32 million compared with \$743 million of contracts for all state agencies and the UW.

DOA staff recently tested a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis for contracting. Experience indicates that approximately eight hours of staff time is needed to analyze a moderately sized contract. Based on the FY04 experience, DOA would have had to dedicate 2,232 staff hours (or approximately 1.0 FTE) to analyze all contracts.

FY04 contracts for all state government totaled \$743 million. However, DOA does not have information on the specific number of contracts issued by all state agencies and the University of Wisconsin. Consequently, no estimate of total state government staff time to perform cost-benefit analyses under the bill is available.

The bill requires the department to develop a uniform cost-benefit analysis for use by agencies. DOA estimates that approximately 40 hours will be needed to develop a training curriculum. Additionally, a two-hour program will be needed to adequately train agency personnel on the cost-benefit analysis. Training could be offered to as many as 500 staff in agency and UW purchasing, budget, human resources and information technology offices. As such, the total time of both the trainers and agency personnel could exceed 1,000 hours. Follow-up training and ongoing technical assistance to agencies is estimated at .25 FTE.

The bill does not provide specific elements to be addressed in the rule or cost-benefit analysis. The amount of staff time required to promulgate the rule will be determined by its complexity. As such, the amount of staff time needed to develop the rule may range from 200-500 hours. This estimate includes review and comment by the affected agencies as well as DOA staff.

The bill requires the department to report annually a summary of cost-benefits analyses and recommendations for eliminating or consolidating services. The amount of time required to develop the annual report depends upon the nature and volume of data provided by the agencies and UW. In addition to agency time dedicated to data collection and reporting, the department may spend 40-80 hours producing a summary of the agency data. Additional analysis to recommend eliminating or consolidating services would likely exceed 80 hours.

Finally, the bill requires fiscal estimates to include information on whether increased costs under the bill could be mitigated through use of contractual services. Agencies complete approximately 2,000 fiscal estimates per session or 1,000 per year. The department estimates this requirement will add 30 minutes staff time to each fiscal estimate (.25 FTE).

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 05-1869/1	Introduction Numbe	r AB-105				
Subject		<u> </u>				
Contractual service procurements						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for Sannualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Government	(do not include in				
\$45,000 salary & fringe based on 1,000 training develop the cost benefit analysis and promulg	ng hours across all state agencies pate rules.	s and 500 hours to				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$93,600					
(FTE Position Changes)	(1.5 FTE)					
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	-					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$93,600	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR	and the second s					
FED						
PRO/PRS	93,600					
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only who revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lic	cense fee, ets.)	crease state				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET ANNUAL	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$93,600	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOA/ Mary Massey (608) 267-2099	Martha Kerner (608) 266-1359	2/25/2005				