Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental							
LRB Number 05-3887/3	Introduction Number AB-1006							
Description Fees charged to qualified lake associations for certain permits to control aquatic plants								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues to absorb within agency's budget enues Yes Decrease Costs							
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Others School Districts Districts								
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370 (4)(mi)								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date							
DNR/ Stacey Yuds (608) 261-0744	Stacey Yuds (608) 261-0744 2/27/2006							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/27/2006

LRB Number 05	5-3887/3	Introduction Number	AB-1006	Estimate Type	Original			
Description								
Fees charged to qualified lake associations for certain permits to control aquatic plants								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Summary:

The bill exempts qualified lake associations from paying an acreage permit fee if the permit is issued solely for the purpose of removing or destroying invasive aquatic plants.

Assumptions:

In FY 2005, the Department collected \$89,869 in acreage permit fees for the purpose of chemically controlling invasive aquatic plants (e.g., Eurasian water milfoil and curly leaf pondweed). Lake associations are already the primary recipients of acreage permits and it is assumed that most of them would be organized in such a manner as to qualify for the exemption. Furthermore, other lake associations that would not qualify for the exemption would be expected to reorganize in such a manner as to meet the exemption requirements.

State Fiscal Effect:

Given the assumptions stated above, it is estimated that the bill would reduce annual permit revenues by 80%, or approximately \$72,000 (90,000 x .80 = 72,000), which are revenues that are used to hire LTEs and to provide supplies and services necessary for regional staff to oversee permit activities.

Local Fiscal Effect:

The bill would reduce annual costs for qualified lake associations by \$72,000 because they would be exempt from paying the acreage permit fee.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated		Correct	ted		Supplemental			
LRB Number 05-3887/3		ntroduction	n Num	ber	AB-1006			
Description Fees charged to qualified lake associations for certain permits to control aquatic plants								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	State a	nd/or Local G	overnm	nent (do	not include in			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annuali	zed Fis	cal Imp	act on funds from:			
		Increase	d Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category								
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			\$					
(FTE Position Changes)								
State Operations - Other Costs								
Local Assistance								
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		···						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	<u> </u>		\$		\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds								
GPR								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
III. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in li	hen pro icense t	posal will inc ee, ets.)	rease o	r decre	ase state			
		Increas	ed Rev		Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes			\$		\$			
GPR Earned								
FED								
PRO/PRS					-72,000			
SEG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues			\$		\$-72,000			
NET ANNUA	ALIZED	FISCAL IMPA	СТ					
			State		Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$	\$-72,000				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-	72,000		\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Author	ized Signatur	е		Date			
DNR/ Stacey Yuds (608) 261-0744	Stacey	acey Yuds (608) 261-0744 2/27/2006						