### STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE

MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

(CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-055)

TO: Senator Judy Robson, Senate Co-Chairperson

Joint Committee for the Review of Administrative Rules

Room 15 South, State Capitol Madison, Wisconsin 53702

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD is submitting in final draft form rules relating to defining sexual contact with a patient as unprofessional conduct.

Please stamp or sign a copy of this letter to acknowledge receipt. If you have any questions concerning the final draft form or desire additional information, please contact Pamela Haack at 266-0495.

#### STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING:

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE

: REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD : ON CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-055

(s. 227.19 (3), Stats.)

#### I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

#### П. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

No new or revised forms are required by these rules.

#### TIT. **FISCAL ESTIMATES:**

These rules will have no significant impact upon state or local units of government.

#### IV. STATEMENT EXPLAINING NEED:

The Medical Examining Board has for many years prosecuted cases involving inappropriate sexual contact between physicians and their patients under s. Med 10.02 (2) (h), Wis. Admin. Code. That section reads as follows:

Med 10.02 Definitions. . . . (2) The term "unprofessional conduct" is defined to mean and include but not be limited to the following, or aiding or abetting the same:

(h) Any practice or conduct which tends to constitute a danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patient or public.

In a recent circuit court case, the board's finding of a violation of the cited section, arising from a respondent having engaged in a sexual relationship with a patient over a period of approximately eight months, was appealed based in part on the argument that the cited section was vague in terms of what it permitted and prohibited. The court agreed, reversing the board's decision and remanding the case to the board "for either further evidentiary proceedings or for particularly specific findings as to how the danger to patient rule was violated or caused, rather, in this case by improper medical treatment."

Based upon this challenge to the board's interpretation of the so-called "danger rule," it is deemed appropriate to join most of the other health care boards in specifically prohibiting inappropriate sexual contact or behavior with a patient. Also consistent with similar rules promulgated by other affected boards, the patient's status as a patient is extended for two years beyond actual termination of services in order to obviate the possible problem of a

licensee summarily suspended terminating treatment immediately upon commencement of improper personal contact with a patient.

#### V. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING:

A public hearing was held on June 19, 2002. There were no appearances at the public hearing nor were any written comments received.

#### VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

There were no recommendations suggested in the Clearinghouse Report.

#### VII. FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

These rules will have no significant economic impact on small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

g:\rules\med7leg.doc 7/15/02

#### STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

: (CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-055)

### PROPOSED ORDER

An order of the Medical Examining Board to create Med 10.02 (2) (zd), relating to defining sexual contact with a patient as unprofessional conduct.

Analysis prepared by the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

#### **ANALYSIS**

Statutes authorizing promulgation: ss. 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 (2) and 448.40 (1), Wis. Stats.

Statutes interpreted: s. 448.015 (4), Wis. Stats.

The Medical Examining Board has for many years prosecuted cases involving inappropriate sexual contact between physicians and their patients under s. Med 10.02 (2) (h), Wis. Admin. Code. That section reads as follows:

Med 10.02 Definitions. . . . (2) The term "unprofessional conduct" is defined to mean and include but not be limited to the following, or aiding or abetting the same:

(h) Any practice or conduct which tends to constitute a danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patient or public.

In a recent circuit court case, the board's finding of a violation of the cited section, arising from a respondent having engaged in a sexual relationship with a patient over a period of approximately eight months, was appealed based in part on the argument that the cited section was vague in terms of what it permitted and prohibited. The court agreed, reversing the board's decision and remanding the case to the board "for either further evidentiary proceedings or for particularly specific findings as to how the danger to patient rule was violated or caused, rather, in this case by improper medical treatment."

Based upon this challenge to the board's interpretation of the so-called "danger rule," it is deemed appropriate to join most of the other health care boards in specifically prohibiting inappropriate sexual contact or behavior with a patient. Also consistent with similar rules promulgated by other affected boards, the patient's status as a patient is extended for two years beyond actual termination of services in order to obviate the possible problem of a licensee

summarily suspende	d terminating treatment immediately upon commencement of improper a patient.
	TEXT OF RULE
SECTION 1.	Med 10.02 (2) (zd) is created to read:
other sexual behavior adult receiving treats professional services be a patient for the p	(zd) Engaging in inappropriate sexual contact, exposure, gratification, or with or in the presence of a patient. For the purposes of this subsection, are nent shall continue to be a patient for 2 years after the termination of. If the person receiving treatment is a minor, the person shall continue to urposes of this subsection for 2 years after termination of services, or for 2 treaches the age of majority, whichever is longer.
	this order shall take effect on the first day of the month following sconsin administrative register pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Stats.
Dated	Agency
	Chairperson
	Medical Examining Board

### FISCAL ESTIMATE

- 1. The anticipated fiscal effect on the fiscal liability and revenues of any local unit of government of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 2. The projected anticipated state fiscal effect during the current biennium of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 3. The projected net annualized fiscal impact on state funds of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

### FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

These rules will have no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

g:\rules\med7.doc 7/3/02





## WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director Terry C. Anderson
Legislative Council Director

Laura D. Rose Legislative Council Deputy Director

#### CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

#### CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-055

AN ORDER to create Med 10.02 (2) (zd), relating to defining sexual contact with a patient as unprofessional conduct.

## Submitted by DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

04–30–02 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

05–07–02 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RS:PGC:tlu

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

Comment Attached

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below: STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)] Comment Attached YES 2. FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)] YES NO I Comment Attached 3. CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)] Comment Attached YES NO I ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS [s. 227.15 (2) (e)] YES Comment Attached CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)] YES NO I Comment Attached POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)] Comment Attached YES NO / 7. COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)]

YES





## WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director Terry C. Anderson Legislative Council Director

Laura D. Rose
Legislative Council Deputy Director

#### **CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY**

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

#### **CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02–055**

AN ORDER to create Med 10.02 (2) (zd), relating to defining sexual contact with a patient as unprofessional conduct.

## Submitted by DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

04–30–02 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

05–07–02 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RS:PGC:tlu

NO /

NO 1

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below: STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)] YES NO / Comment Attached FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)] YES NO / Comment Attached CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)] YES NO 1 Comment Attached ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS [s. 227.15 (2) (e)] Comment Attached YES NO / CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)] Comment Attached YES POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)]

YES

YES

COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)]

Comment Attached

Comment Attached

# STATE OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

(CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02- )

#### PROPOSED ORDER

An order of the Medical Examining Board to create Med 10.02 (2) (zd), relating to defining sexual contact with a patient as unprofessional conduct.

Analysis prepared by the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

#### **ANALYSIS**

Statutes authorizing promulgation: ss. 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 (2) and 448.40 (1), Wis. Stats.

Statutes interpreted: s. 448.015 (4), Wis. Stats.

The Medical Examining Board has for many years prosecuted cases involving inappropriate sexual contact between physicians and their patients under s. Med 10.02 (2) (h), Wis. Admin. Code. That section reads as follows:

Med 10.02 Definitions. . . . (2) The term "unprofessional conduct" is defined to mean and include but not be limited to the following, or aiding or abetting the same:

(h) Any practice or conduct which tends to constitute a danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patient or public.

In a recent circuit court case, the board's finding of a violation of the cited section, arising from a respondent having engaged in a sexual relationship with a patient over a period of approximately eight months, was appealed based in part on the argument that the cited section was vague in terms of what it permitted and prohibited. The court agreed, reversing the board's decision and remanding the case to the board "for either further evidentiary proceedings or for particularly specific findings as to how the danger to patient rule was violated or caused, rather, in this case by improper medical treatment."

Based upon this challenge to the board's interpretation of the so-called "danger rule," it is deemed appropriate to join most of the other health care boards in specifically prohibiting inappropriate sexual contact or behavior with a patient. Also consistent with similar rules promulgated by other affected boards, the patient's status as a patient is extended for two years beyond actual termination of services in order to obviate the possible problem of a licensee

summarily suspended terming personal contact with a pati	nating treatment immediately upon commencement of improper nt.
	TEXT OF RULE
SECTION 1. Med	0.02 (2) (zd) is created to read:
other sexual behavior with adult receiving treatment sh professional services. If the be a patient for the purpose	Engaging in inappropriate sexual contact, exposure, gratification, or in the presence of a patient. For the purposes of this subsection, a all continue to be a patient for 2 years after the termination of person receiving treatment is a minor, the person shall continue to of this subsection for 2 years after termination of services, or for 2 as the age of majority, whichever is longer.
	er shall take effect on the first day of the month following administrative register pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Stats.
Dated	Agency Chairperson  Medical Examining Board
	FISCAL ESTIMATE

- 1. The anticipated fiscal effect on the fiscal liability and revenues of any local unit of government of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 2. The projected anticipated state fiscal effect during the current biennium of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 3. The projected net annualized fiscal impact on state funds of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

#### INITIAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

These proposed rules will be reviewed by the department through its Small Business Review Advisory Committee to determine whether there will be an economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

g:\rules\med7.doc 4/30/02