Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2001 Session

Original	☐ Updated	LRB Number s0046/1	Α	mendment Number if Applicable		
☐ Corrected	Supplemental	Bill Number SSA to SB 44		Administrative Rule Number		
Subject Authority of the Do	partment to Regulate High Capa	city Wells	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
or affects a sum suffic Increase Existing Decrease Existing Create New Appro Local: No Local G Increase Costs Permissive Decrease Costs Permissive Fund Sources Affecte GPR FED Assumptions Used in Bill Summary: This from the well may requires that the Decaused by a high-cal	only if bill makes a direct approprient appropriation. Appropriation	Existing Revenues Existing Revenues Revenues nissive	within agency Yes Decrease Cos Types of Loca Towns Counties School Dis Affected Chapte s 20.370 (4) (ma) oval for a high-cap tent approves use of order to minimize bill also requires	No I Governmental Units Affected: Villages Cities Others tricts WTCS Districts 20 Appropriations acity well that the water withdrawn f the well for that purpose. The bill adverse effects to water quality		
Fiscal Estimate: The expenditures associand guiding an estimate with completing an applicants. The individual Impact Assessment anticipates receiving assumes, based on a \$70,000 and take at applicant. Departmapplicant and respothis type are not conduring the study device.	ne bill will increase costs to the I ated with approximately 1.0 FTF nated 2 annual high capacity per EIS for their proposed wells; an ividual elements of this fiscal es: The Bill requires an EIS for eag between 1 and 2 requests per y an estimate given to Perrier by the cout 12 months to complete. The ent staff would be involved durinding to questions from the appliammon and consultants will likely welopment would require approx	Department by an estim E. This estimate of fisc mit applicantswho pr d 2) Department review timate are itemized beloch well that is used to p ear for approvals of we e U.S. Geological Surv Department assumes to ing development of the icant, its consultant, and have many questions,	ated \$ 65,200 in sa al impact includes opose to supply wa of the 2 EISs prepow: oroduce bottled drin ills to supply water ey, that this assessi hat the cost of the study by providing d other interested p	lary and supplies related costs associated with 1) assisting ter for water bottling purposes-pared by high capacity permit aking water. The Department bottlers directly. The Department ment would cost approximately study would be borne by the assistance and guidelines to the arties. Because technical studies of imates that DNR staff involvement		
Long-Range Fiscal Im None.	olications		/ · · · · ·			
Prepared By:		Telephone No.	Agency			
Joe Polasek	\cap	266-2794	Denartmer	nt of Natural Resources		
Authorized Signature		Telephone No.	<u> </u>	/dd/ccyy)		
M	Planer	266-2794	02/28/01			

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2001 Session

Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number s0046/1	Amendment Number if Applicable		
Bill Number SSA to SB 44	Administrative Rule Number		
55A to 5B 44			

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate – Continued

200 hours x 2 applications = 400 hours 400 hours/1820 hours per FTE = 0.22 FTE (Hydrogeologist – Adv.) Hydrogeologist – Adv. (\$24.47/hr salary & fringe) x 2080 hours + \$4000 supplies related expenditures = \$54,900 x 0.22 FTE = \$12,100

EIS Review: Once the high-capacity well permit applicant has completed and submitted the above-referenced EIS, the Department estimates that approximately 400 hours of DNR Drinking Water and Groundwater bureau staff time would be required to review the study, recommend an action (approval or disapproval), specify any required conditions of an approval, and provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the action. This estimated time is based on the amount of time currently spent be Department program staff conducting a completeness review of a landfill feasibility study, which is a comparatively complex study of the type anticipated in high-capacity well permit cases.

400 hours x 2 applications = 800 hours 800 hours | 800 hours | 1820 hours per FTE = 0.44 FTE (Hydrogeologist – Adv.) |
Hydrogeologist – Adv. (\$24.47/hr salary & fringe) x 2080 hours + \$4000 supplies-related expenditures = \$54,900 x 0.44 FTE = \$24,200.

Additional Staff Involvement: In addition to the hydrogeologist assigned to the EIS, there are additional Department staff involved with the preparation, investigation, review, and public comment processes associated with EISs. This would include legal staff, regional fisheries management and habitat protection staff, and environmental analysis and review staff. The time estimate for these activities is based on past experience with non-controversial environmental reviews conducted under NR 150. It is estimated that an additional 400 hours of DNR staff time would be spent.

Legal Staff

100 hours x 2 applications = 200 hours

200 hours/1820 hours per FTE = 0.10 FTE (Attorney)

Attorney (\$41 28/hr salary & fringe) x 2080 hours + \$4000 supplies related expenditures = \$89,900 x 0.10 = \$9,000

Fisheries Staff

200 hours x 2 = 400 hours

400 hours/1820 hours per FTE = 0.22 FTE (Fisheries Biologist – Adv.)

Fisheries Biologist – Adv. (\$28.21/hr salary & fringe) x 2080 hours + \$4000 supplies related expenditures = \$62,800 x 0.22 FTE = \$13,800.

Environmental Analysis Staff

100 hours x 32 = 200 hours

200 hours/1820 hours per FTE = 0.10 FTE (Env. Analysis & Review Spec. – Adv.)

Env. Analysis & Review Spec. – Adv. (\$27.52/hr. salary & fringe) x 2080 hours + \$4000 supplies related expenditures = $$61,200 \times 0.10 \text{ FTE} = $6,100$.

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2001 Session Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original	☐ Updated	LRB Number		Amendment Number if Applicable			
	s0046/1							
	☐ Corrected	Supplemental	Bill Number		Adr	Administrative Rule Number		
		<i>(</i>	SSA to S	B 44				
Su	bject	onto out to Donalete Web Come	aite. Walla	•				
	Authority of the De	partment to Regulate High Capa	icity wells					
On	ne-time Costs or Rev None.	venue Impacts for State and/	or Local Gov	ernment (do no	t include in a	annualized fisca	al effect):	
	Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:				
_					ed Costs		sed Costs	
Α.	State Costs by Ca			\$ 65	5,200	\$ -		
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes			, 0.5			r-r- \		
	(FTE Position	n Changes)	 	(1.08 FTE) (-	FTE)	
	State Operati	ions — Other Costs				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Local Assista	nce				_		
	Aids to Indivi	duals or Organizations				-		
	Total S	State Costs by Category		\$		\$ -		
В.				Increase	d Costs	Decreased Costs		
υ.	GPR	varios of Farinas		\$ 6:	5,200	\$ -		
	FED					-		
	PRO/PRS					-		
	SEG/SEG-S	Complete this only when I	proposal will	Increased	Pavanua	- Decrease	d Revenue	
	State Revenues	increase or decrease state re tax increase, decrease in lice	venues (e.g.,					
	GPR Taxes	tak morodoo, dooroo m	,	\$		\$ -		
	GPR Earned					-		
FED						-		
	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S					- :		
			•		\$ -			
Total State Revenues				\$		Ψ -		
		Net Ar	nnualized Fis	-		Loc	-al	
	•			State	\$		0	
Net Change in Costs		\$	65,200		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Net Change in Revenues			\$ 0		\$ 0		0	
Prepared By:		Telephone No. Age		Agency	псу			
Joe Polasek		266-2794 Depa		Department	rtment of Natural Resources			
Authorized Signature					Date (mm/c	(mm/dd/ccyy)		
Who Vilasee			266-2794 02/28/			01		