Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Ori	ginal		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB Nur	nber <b>01-43</b>	96/1		Introd	uction Numb	er S	B-411	
Subject Allow city of	Bayfield to beco	me a pr	emier resort area a	and impo	se premier resort	area tax	xes	
Fiscal Effec	t				,			
Indete	ate Fiscal Effect erminate crease Existing propriations ecrease Existing propriations eate New Approp	priations	Increase Ex Revenues Decrease E Revenues	Ŭ		within aç Yes	gency's bu	oossible to dget
No Lo Indete 1. I I 2. I		andatory	3. Increase Re  Permissive  4. Decrease Re  Permissive	Manda evenue	☐Cour	ected ns [ nties [2 pol [	Overnmen  Village  Others  WTCS Districts	t Cities City of Bayfield
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.835 (4) (gd) and 20.566 (1) gf)								
Agency/Pre	pared By		Autho	orized S	ignature			Date
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310 Brian				n Pahnke (608) 266-2700				02/15/2002

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 02/15/2002

LRB Number	01-4396/1	Introduction Number	SB-411	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject			,		-		
Allow city of Bayfield to become a premier resort area and impose premier resort area taxes							

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, in general, a municipality or a county may declare itself a premier resort area if at least 40% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property within the political subdivision is used by certain tourism—related retailers specified in the law. The City of Eagle River may declare itself a premier resort area even if it does not meet the 40% requirement regarding taxable property that is used by specified tourism—related retailers. A premier resort area may impose a 0.5% sales tax on goods or services that are subject to the general sales and use tax and are sold by specified tourism—related retailers. The proceeds of the tax may be used only for infrastructure expenses, including roads, bridges, recreational facilities and public safety.

Under the bill, the City of Bayfield would be allowed to declare itself a premier resort area even if it does not meet the 40% requirement regarding taxable property that is used by tourism—related retailers.

Total sales in Bayfield County subject to the county sales tax were about \$133.2 million in 2000. Based on the available Standard Industrial Code data for those sales, an estimated one-third of those sales, \$44.4 million, were by tourism–related retailers. Since about 19.8% of the commercial property in Bayfield County was located in the City of Bayfield in 2000, it is assumed that 19.8% or \$8.8 million (19.8% x \$44.4 million) of total county sales by tourism–related retailers would occur within the City of Bayfield. Therefore, under the foregoing assumptions, if the City of Bayfield had had a premier resort area tax in 2000, the tax would have produced an estimated \$45,000 (\$8.8 million x 0.5%).

Premier resort area taxes are administered by the Department. Of total collections, 97% are distributed to the premier resort area district and 3% are retained by the Department to recover administrative costs. Based on the analysis above, the Department would retain about \$1,000 of collections in the City of Bayfield for administrative costs, which should be sufficient to fund its costs of administering the tax.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number <b>01-4396/1</b>	Introduction N	umber SB-411					
Subject  Allow city of Bayfield to become a premier res	ort area and impose premi	er resort area taxes					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for Sannualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Govern	nment (do not include in					
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualize	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
	Increased C	osts Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$					
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs							
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$ \$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee,	ets.)						
long -	Increased						
GPR Taxes		\$ \$					
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS (20.835(4)(gd),20.566)	1	,000					
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,000 \$					
NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
NET OLIANOF IN COOTS		State Local					
NET CHANGE IN DEVENUE	•	\$ \$					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$1	,000 \$45,000					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310	Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2	2700 02/15/2002					