Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	nental
LRB	Number	01-3542/1	-	Introd	duction Nun	nber	SB-337	
Subjec							-	
Wearin	ng of persona	al flotation device	es		· 			
Fiscal	Effect							
ı =	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria Create No	e Existing tions Existing	Revenu Decrea Revenu	se Existing	abso	ase Costs rb within ε ဩYes ease Cost	s - May be p agency's bud	ossible to dget
	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permis 2. Decrea	e Costs sive⊠Mandato	4. Decreas	sive Mand se Revenue	Units A atory atory	Affected owns ounties	Governmen Village Others WTCS Districts	t Cities Lake Districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370(3)(ar)								
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized S	ignature			Date
DNR/	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	J	oe Polasek ((608) 266-2794			1/28/02

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/29/02

LRB Number 01-3542/1	Introduction Number SB-337	Estimate Type	Original
Subject			
Wearing of personal flotation dev	rices		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: Currently, while boating, there must be a personal flotation device (PFD) for each person in the boat. Under this bill, a person may not operate a boat that is less than 26 feet in length unless, during the time the boat is under way, every person on the boat who is 16 years old or younger is wearing a personal flotation device or is in a cabin space or below the deck.

Fiscal Estimate: The fiscal impact of this bill is two part: a stepped up informational campaign that promotes the wearing of PFDs, and increased law enforcement presence to address non-compliance.

The informational campaign will require Law Enforcement Officers to spend extra time with each boater to explain the law. This will reduce the number of contacts made with boaters per year (approximately 70,000 under current staffing). In addition, this proposal will require public service announcements on radio and television. This is estimated to cost \$40,000 per year, but this cost will be offset by a reduction in other boating PSAs.

Approximately 70,000 contacts with boaters are made per year with current state law enforcement staffing. It is estimated that there will be, at a minimum, a 5% non-compliance rate with this proposal, even with the informational outreach. That equates to 3,500 boaters out of the 70,000 typically contacted who will be in violation. (Law enforcement typically contacts 7%-10% of the 875,000 boaters in this state). If citations were issued to 10% of the people contacted and verbal warnings to the remaining 90%, then it would require 1.2 FTE worth of effort to carry out this proposal (350 persons receiving citations x 1.50 hours per citation including court time/ 1830 hours per FTE)+ (3,150 persons receiving warnings x 0.50 hours per warning/1830 hours per FTE). The time needed to carry out this proposal will come from a redirection of current boating enforcement activities.

One likely outcome from this legislation is that, after an initial period of enforcement and education, perhaps in the neighborhood of 1-2 years, accidents and fatalities related to this activity would decrease. This would have the effect of allowing both the boating PSAs and the boating enforcement hours to shift back to their current uses.

The costs to local units of government will be similar to the costs estimated by the department. There will be an increase in the time and effort spent on education and on enforcement of the new PDF laws, but this priority will be absorbed into the existing budget of the local governments.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ted	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 01-3542/1	· .	Introduction Numb	per SB-337		
Subject					
Wearing of personal flotation devices					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State	e and/or Local Governmen	nt (do not include in		
annualized fiscal effect):					
		and the second s			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	es	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this on	ly when p	proposal will increase or d	lecrease state revenues		
(e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	e fee, ets.	<u> </u>			
	· ·	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned	· .				
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$		
NET A	NNUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT			
NET OUNDED TO SOCIE	• .	<u>State</u>	Loca		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$		
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature	Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		e Polasek (608) 266-2794	1/28/02		