## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	nental	
LRB	Number	01-4194/1		Intro	duction N	umber	SB-311		
Subject									
Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing									
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea	ase Existing	ab				
No Local Government Costs  ☐ Indeterminate  1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory  2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature			Date	
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785				Brian Pahnk	11/14/2001				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 11/14/2001

LRB Number <b>01-4194/1</b>	Introduction Number	SB-311	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject								
Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing								

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

To create a tax incremental financing district (TID) under current law, 50% or more of the land area in the TID must be blighted or in need of rehabilitation and no more than 25% of the land can be vacant for the 7 years preceding the TID creation. However, if the area is suitable for industrial use, neither test applies.

The bill would limit the conditions under which a municipality could create a TID. Under the bill, land that is suitable for industrial use would no longer be a qualifying criterion. Also under the bill, agricultural and forest land would not be allowed in TIDs. While the bill does not prohibit the use of tax incremental financing for industrial purposes, industrial TIDs must meet the land restrictions for blighted and rehabilitation TIDs.

According to the 1995-98 Report on Tax Incremental Financing by the Department of Commerce, of the 251 TIDs created in the 1995-98 period, 78 TIDs or 31% were for non-industrial purposes, and 173 or 69% had industrial development as at least one component of the TID project. The report also indicates that an average of 65 TIDs were created annually in the period.

Assuming many industrial TIDs under current law are not created in areas with at least 50% of the land area blighted or in need of rehabilitation, the bill would result in significantly fewer TIDs created. As a result, fewer TID tax increments would be generated with which to finance the public improvements needed for the development to occur.

To the extent that the industrial properties would be developed in the absence of tax incremental financing (TIF), the municipality would have to incur all or a portion of the necessary public improvements. On the other hand, to the extent that the industrial properties would not be developed without TIF, the bill would reduce future growth in the tax base for all affected taxing jurisdictions.

Since TIDs created for blight elimination and rehabilitation tend to exist longer then industrial-purpose TIDs, the average life of TIDs created under the bill is expected to be longer than the 12-year average life under current law.

The department would incur costs related to programming changes and to revisions to informational material and prescribed forms required under the bill. Those costs could be absorbed within existing resources.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	X	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental		
L	RB	Number	01-4194/	<b>'</b> 1		Intro	duction N	lumber	SB-311		
	<b>ubjec</b> miting		tax incremen	tal financing							
		time Costs lized fiscal e		mpacts for S	tate	and/or L	ocal Gove	rnment (dc	o not include in		
11.	Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
L						Inc	reased Cos	its	Decreased Cos	sts	
A		e Costs by									
_		·	- Salaries and	d Fringes				\$			
L		Position Ch									
_			- Other Costs								
L	<u> </u>	l Assistance		_							
Н			s or Organiza								
$oxed{\sqcup}$	TOTAL State Costs by Category						<u>\$  </u>		\$		
В			Source of Fu	nds							
	GPR										
	FED										
L		/PRS					in'				
	<u> </u>	/SEG-S									
 (e	. Stat .g., ta	te Revenues ax increase,	s - Complete t , decrease in	this only who license fee,	en p ets.	)			ase state revenues		
L						Į.	ncreased R	ev	Decreased R	ev	
L		Taxes						\$	····	\$	
L		Earned									
L	FED			·							
_	<u> </u>	/PRS									
L		/SEG-S									
L	I TO	OTAL State	Revenues					\$		\$	
L				NET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISC					
NET OLIMINOE IN COOTS					<u>Sta</u>		Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS  NET CHANGE IN REVENUE							\$See text of fiscal note.				
ľ	ET C	HANGE IN F	KEVENUE			<u> </u>		\$ 5	See text of fiscal not	te.	
_						·					
Agency/Prepared By				uthorized Signature			Date				
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 Brid				ian Pahnke (608) 266-2700 11/14				U1			