## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	01-4194/1		Introd	duction Num	ber S	B-311	
Subjec	et							
Limitin	g the uses of	tax incrementa	financing					
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea	ase Existing	absort	se Costs - o within ag XYes ase Costs		
	Indeterminate  1. Increas Permiss  2. Decreas	e Costs sive Mandato	4. Decrea	ssive Mandase Revenue	Units A  Too latory Co	-	overnmen Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized 9	Signature			Date
COMM/ David Horton (608) 266-5860 Louid				Louie Cornel	uie Cornelius (608) 266-8629			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 11/26/01

LRB Number 01-4194/1	Introduction Number	SB-311	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing									

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill makes several changes to the tax incremental finance law.

#### State:

The Department of Commerce does not regulate or implement tax incremental finance (TIF) program activity. The Department is required to prepare a biennial report on activity of TIFs. The Department will have to identify the modifications, track them, and summarize in the biennial report how these changes affect TIF activity. Integrating the changes in TIF law into the Department's report structure will result in an increase in workload for the agency. Nonetheless, these increases are likely to be minimal and can be absorbed within existing resources.

#### Local:

The bill makes three changes:

- 1. The requirement, which specifies that property standing vacant for an entire seven year period immediately preceding the adoption of the resolution creating the TID may not make up more than 25% of the area in the TID, is eliminated.
- 2. The use of tax incremental financing is limited to areas that are blighted or in need of rehabilitation.
- 3. The city or village is required to adopt a finding that no part of the district includes an area identified as agricultural or forest land.

The first change in the bill affects the ability of municipalities to use tax incremental financing. This change is not likely to directly affect local administrative costs.

However, the second change will require municipalities to review carefully the area for blight and the need of rehabilitation. This provision may result in an increase in administrative costs to make this determination.

Meanwhile, the third change requires local governments to review the land included in the district and adopt a finding related to that review. This requirement is likely to increase local administrative costs related to the review and adoption of the finding. The precise amount of this increase cannot be determined, and is likely to vary among municipalities depending on size, location and the current land-use of the parcels proposed to be included in the district.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number <b>01-4194/1</b>	Introduction Number	er <b>SB-311</b>			
Subject					
Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for sannualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Government	(do not include in			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisca	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee,		crease state revenues			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	\$	\$			
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$			
NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
	<u>State</u>	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$See text	\$See text			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
COMM/ David Horton (608) 266-5860	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629	l			