

Fiscal Estimate — 2001 Session

- Original Updated
 Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number LRB-0934/1	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number 2001 Senate Bill 24	Administrative Rule Number

Subject

The bill prohibits the departments of transportation and natural resources and any political subdivision (city, village, town, or county) from using the word "squaw" in the name of any public place, highway, or geographic feature such as a body of water or natural landmark.

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

- Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb within agency's budget.
 Yes No
 Decrease Costs

Local: No Local Government Costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
 Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

1. There would be very few DOT signs affected and the fiscal impact would be minimal. Specifically, analysis from the DOT Sign Inventory Management System (SIMS) reveals only one state sign (Squaw Creek) has "squaw" in it. However, this is a new database and only about half of all signs are entered into it. It is therefore possible that two or three total signs would be affected by this proposed legislation. Adopt-a-Highway signs are not in the system, but it is likely that no more than one additional sign would have the word "squaw." Total sign change costs would be approximately \$1200.

2. DOT mapping staff estimate \$500 costs to update state maps to remove the word "squaw." This cost added to the \$1200 described above would make the total cost approximately \$1700.

3. It is difficult to attribute a local fiscal effect to the statutory changes which would result from this legislation. DOT does not have a database of all geographic names in the state. This estimate assumes that the costs for any single local government would not be significant, but it is reasonable to assume some local costs are mandatory to comply with the mandate of this bill, including changing signs, map references, and affected documents. It is possible that the majority of time would be spent in deciding on names to replace any current names including "squaw."

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The long-range implications are minimal.

Prepared By: Richard Moss, Bureau of Highway Operations	Telephone No. 608-267-7830	Agency DOT
Authorized Signature <i>Gary C. Whited</i>	Telephone No. 7-7774	Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 01/31/2001

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

- Original Updated
 Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number
2001 SB 24	

Subject

The bill prohibits DOT, DNR, and any political subdivision (city, county, village, town) from using the word "squaw" in the name of any public place, highway, or geographic feature such as a body of water or natural landmark.

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):
 \$1700 State (SEG). Local government costs would increase by an unknown, but expected to be minor amount.

Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category		
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(FTE)
State Operations — Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
Total State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
State Revenues	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
Total State Revenues	\$	\$ -

Net Annualized Fiscal Impact

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
Net Change in Costs	\$ 0	\$
Net Change in Revenues	\$	\$

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Richard Moss	608-267-7830	DOT
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
<i>Gary C. Whited</i>	7-7774	01/31/2001