Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

### Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corre	ected	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>01-3258/1</b>	Introducti	on Number SI	B-208		
Subject					
Sales and use tax exemption for energy-efficient	cars				
Fiscal Effect					
AppropriationsRever	ase Existing	Increase Costs - absorb within age Yes Decrease Costs			
Permissive Mandatory Permis  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs Permis  Permissive Mandatory Permis	se Revenue ssive  Mandatory ase Revenue ssive  Mandatory	Counties (	Village Cities Ballpark and stadium districts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signate	ure	Date		
20D/ Disir Krassa (200) 200 4040			7/9/01		

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 7/9/01

LRB Number <b>01-3258/1</b>	Introduction Number	SB-208	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject			2				
Sales and use tax exemption for energy-efficient cars							

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill exempts from sales and use tax motor vehicles, licensed for highway use, that use any of the following as a fuel: a mixture of 85% ethanol and 15% gasoline, electricity, compressed natural gas, or propane.

The US Department of Energy (USDOE) estimated the total number of alternative fuel vehicles (AFVs) in use in each state In 1999 by fuel type. In addition, the USDOE estimated the number of AFVs in public and in private use in 1999 and in 2001 nationwide by fuel type. Up to about half of the AFVs of certain fuel types are publicly owned and so are not subject to sales and use tax. Therefore, the number of AFVs in private use in Wisconsin was estimated by assuming that the percentage of AFVs in private use in Wisconsin is the same as the nationwide percentage, and that the number of AFVs in Wisconsin from 1999 to 2001 increased at the same rate as the number of AFVs nationally. The estimated numbers of AFVs in private use in Wisconsin in 2001 by fuel type are shown in the attached table.

According to the USDOE, 75% of cars are in use for at least 10 years. Therefore, it is assumed that AFVs have a 10-year life cycle and that 10% of the number of AFVs in private use in Wisconsin in 2001 would be purchased each year. Also shown in the table is the average price of an AFV by fuel type, according to the USDOE. Summing the estimated number of AFVs in Wisconsin in private use by fuel-type times the estimated average price per AFV by fuel-type indicates a total change in sales subject to sales tax of \$8.9 million. Therefore, the annual reduction in state sales taxes would be about \$0.45 million (\$8.9 million x 5%) under the bill.

County, ballpark and stadium sales taxes are expected to be 7.064% of the state sales taxes in 2001 and so these local sales taxes would decrease by about \$31,000 (\$0.45 million x 7.064%) per year under the bill.

DOR's administrative costs are minimal under the bill and could be absorbed.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### Alternative Fuel Vehicles in Private Use in WI and Sales Tax Effects, 2001

		Alternative Fuel Type				
		Propane (LPG)	Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)	Ethanol (E85)	Electricity	TOTAL
(1)	Estimated No. Alternative-Fuel Vehicles in Private Use in WI, 2001	526	1,036	1,704	22	
(2)	No. Alternative-Fuel Vehicles Purchased Annually (1) x 10%	53	104	170	2	
(3)	Average Total Price per AFV	\$27,980	\$29,230	\$25,480	\$27,500	
(4)	Annual Change in Taxable Revenue (2) x (3)	\$1,482,940	\$3,039,920	\$4,331,600	\$55,000	\$8,909,460
(5)	State Sales and Use Tax Rate					5%
(6)	Change in State Sales Tax Revenue					\$445,046
(7)	Change in County, Ballpark and Sta (7.064%)	dium Sales	Taxes			\$31,438

## **Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session**

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Updated Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB Number <b>01-3258/1</b>	RB Number 01-3258/1 Introduction Number SB-208				
Subject					
Sales and use tax exemption for energy-effici	ent c	ears			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	State	e and/or Local Governmer	nt (do no	t include in	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fis	cal Impa	ct on funds from:	
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$			
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS				<del></del>	
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only where the contract of	ets.	)	lecrease		
Topp T		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes	_	\$		\$-450,000	
GPR Earned			-		
PRO/PRS		-			
SEG/SEG-S		<u> </u>			
TOTAL State Revenues	_			<b>.</b>	
	\$		\$-450,000		
NET ANNO	ALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		1 1	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		State		Local	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-450,000		\$ -31,000	
THE TOTAL TO	_	φ-430,000		φ-31,000	
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature		Date	
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310	nnis Collier (608) 266-5773	7/9/01			