Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

	Original		Updated	☐ Cor	rected	Supple	mental	
LRB	Number	01-2544/1		Introduct	tion Number	SB-105		
Subje Modify	ethanol subs	sidy program						
State:	No State Fisce Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing Lions Existing	Increase E Revenues Decrease I Revenues s	_	Increase Co absorb with 2 Ye Decrease C	in agency's bu es		
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs ive Mandator se Costs	3. Increase Rory Permissive 4. Decrease For Permissive	Mandatory Revenue	☐ Counties	ed Village S Others	Cities	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115(1)(d) for Alds, 20.115(1)(a) for admin.								
Agend	y/Prepared I	Зу	Auth	norized Signa	ature		Date	
DATC	P/ Don Akama	atsu (608) 224-4	1747 Bart	Knapp (608)	224-4746		04/23/2001	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 04/24/2001

LRB Number 01-2544/1	Introduction Number	SB-105	Estimate Type	Updated
Subject				
Modify ethanol subsidy program				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would make the appropriation for aids payments to ethanol producers a sum sufficient appropriation, in effect increasing the appropriation.

The bill also limits the amount of aids payments to any ethanol producer, to 20 cents per gallon for up to 15 million gallons within 12 months, or a maximum of \$3 million.

Administration of this program, either with or without the changes presented in this bill, involves some minor costs to DATCP. DATCP staff may need to audit the applications for truthfulness and accuracy, but the time commitment for each individual ethanol producer should be small enough to absorb into the department's general duties.

Under current law, DATCP expects that initially a limited number of ethanol producers will take advantage of this program. However, if this bill is enacted, it may induce additional investment in the ethanol production industry. In turn, DATCP would have to commit additional time to this program.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Potential ethanol plants are in Monroe, Elba, Stanley, La Crosse, Oshkosh, and Menomonie.

At this time, the expectation is for the following:

In 2002, 1 plant at \$3,000,000.

In 2003, an additional 2 to 4 plants at an additional \$6,000,000 to \$12,000,000.

In 2004, 1 additional plant at \$3,000,000.

Total -- 6 plants at \$18,000,000

The costs shown in the fiscal estimate worksheet are the maximum annual (4 plants in one year) Aids and state operations costs during the next biennium. Total Aids paid after all the plants listed above have gone into production is estimated at \$18,000,000.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Γiscal Effect

Original Dupdated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 01-2544/1	Introduction Number	SB-105	
Subject			
Modify ethanol subsidy program			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	r State and/or Local Government (do not include in	
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Impact on funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$1,000		
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	12,000,000	And the second s	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$12,001,000	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR	12,001,000		
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only v (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fe	when proposal will increase or dec e, ets.)	rease state revenues	
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$	
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$	
NET ANN	UALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		
	<u>State</u>	Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$12,001,000	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$	
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date	
DATCP/ Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747	Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	04/23/2001	