Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	nental
LRB	Number	01-4837/1		Introd	duction Numb	oer A	B-907	
Subjec	ot .							
Paying	amounts to	overlying taxing	jurisdictions re	elated to tax-e	exempt country cl	ubs		
Fiscal	Effect							
[No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ase Existing	absorb	se Costs - within ag Yes ase Costs		ossible to dget No
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive⊠Mandato	ry Permis 4. Decre	se Revenue ssive Mand ase Revenue ssive Mand	IXI Col	ffected vns [] unties []	Overnmen Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By				Authorized Signature				Date
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785				Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700				3/27/02

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/27/02

LRB Number	01-4837/1	Introduction Number	AB-907	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Paying amounts to overlying taxing jurisdictions related to tax-exempt country clubs								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, property owned by a municipality is exempt. The exemption applies to property owned by a municipality and leased to another entity, regardless of the lessee. Thus, country clubs that lease municipally owned property are exempt from property taxes.

Under the bill, if a municipality leases land and/or improvements to a country club that charges a membership fee of at least \$1,000 annually, the municipality must pay to all overlying taxing jurisdictions an amount equal to the amount that the overlying taxing jurisdictions would receive if the country club's land and improvements were taxable.

The department is aware of a country club in the Village of Maple Bluff and one in the Village of Shorewood Hills that would be affected under the bill. Based on discussions with assessors regarding the valuation of taxable country clubs, it is estimated that the land and improvements of each of these properties would be assessed at approximately \$6.5 million.

The 2000/01 net total tax rate for the Village of Maple Bluff was \$22.98 per \$1,000 of full value and the municipal rate was \$6.85 per \$1,000 of full value. As a result of the bill, the Village of Maple Bluff would pay to all taxing jurisdictions an amount equal to what the jurisdictions would receive if the country club property was taxable. Thus, the Village would be required to pay \$105,000 annually to other taxing jurisdictions [\$6.5 million \times (.02298-.00685)]. To the extent that the country club would be required to pay this amount, the bill would have the effect of shifting \$105,000 of property taxes from other taxable property to the affected country club.

The 2000/01 net total tax rate for the Village of Shorewood Hills was \$20.94 per \$1,000 of full value and the municipal rate was \$4.51 per \$1,000 of full value. Under the bill, the Village would be required to pay \$107,000 annually to other taxing jurisdictions [\$6.5 million x (.02094-.00451)]. To the extent that the country club would be required to pay this amount, the bill would have the effect of shifting \$104,000 of property taxes from other taxable property to the affected country club.

The bill would increase municipal costs associated with assessing the affected properties for purposes of calculating the municipal payments.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated			Corrected	2] Supple	emental		
LRB	Number	01-4837/	1		Intro	duction No	umber	AB-907	7		
Subject Paying amounts to overlying taxing jurisdictions related to tax-exempt country clubs											
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):											
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:						npact on f	unds from:		
				$oldsymbol{\perp}$	Inc	reased Costs	3	Decre	ased Costs		
	te Costs by										
		- Salaries and	l Fringes			\$					
	Position Ch			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	<u> </u>	- Other Costs									
	al Assistance			4							
		s or Organizat		ļ	······································						
		Costs by Cate				\$			\$		
		Source of Fur	nds								
GPF				_							
FED				_					·		
)/PRS			_							
SEC	S/SEG-S			<u></u>							
	III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)										
				_	Į:	ncreased Rev	/	Dec	reased Rev		
\vdash	R Taxes					\$			\$		
GPF	R Earned	·									
FED											
	D/PRS										
_	SEG/SEG-S										
T	TOTAL State Revenues					\$			\$		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT											
						State		Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$		\$See text of fiscal note.			
NET C	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$	\$ \$	See text of	fiscal note.		
Agend	Agency/Prepared By					uthorized Signature Date			Date		
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 Bri				Bria	ian Pahnke (608) 266-2700			3/27/02			