Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supp	olemental		
LRB Number 01-3539/1	Introduction Number AB-64	7		
Subject Appeals of property taxes imposed on manufactu	ring property			
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations Rever Decrease Existing Decre Appropriations Rever Create New Appropriations	ease Existing absorb within agency's	e possible to budget No		
Permissive Mandatory Permis 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Permissive Mandatory Permis	5.Types of Local Governm Units Affected Ssive Mandatory ase Revenue ssive Mandatory Districts 5.Types of Local Governm Units Affected Counties	ge 🛛 Cities rs :S		
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriate SEG SEGS 20.835(2)(bm)	ions		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 12/5/01

LRB Number	01-3539/1	Introduction Number	AB-647	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Appeals of pro	perty taxes imposed o	n manufacturing prope	erty		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, manufacturing property owners may contest the valuation of their property to the state board of assessors; appeal of decisions by the board of assessors are made to the tax appeals commission. Tax appeal commission decisions may be appealed to the circuit court for Dane County.

Under current law, if a reviewing authority has not completed its work with respect to a particular objection prior to the time the property tax is extended, the tax levy is based on the contested assessed value of the property. If the reviewing authority subsequently reduces the valuation of property, the taxpayer may file a claim for a refund. Interest on the refund is paid at the rate of 0.8% per month. The municipality may charge back to the other taxing jurisdictions their share of the refund but cannot charge back the interest paid.

Under the bill, a municipality may pay the refund for manufacturing claims in five annual instalments if the following conditions are met:

- 1) The municipality's property tax levy for general obligations for the year for which the taxes are to be refunded is less than \$100 million;
- 2) The refund is at least 0.25% of the municipality's levy for its general operations; and
- 3) The refund is more than \$10,000.

Each instalment, except the final one, must be equal to 20% of the sum of the refund and interest. Under the bill, the annual rate of interest is 10% or the rate determined by the last auction of six-month U.S. treasury bills, whichever is less.

Under the bill, the department of administration shall refund municipalities for interest costs paid for refunds on manufacturing property due to reduced valuation by a reviewing authority.

The bill would allow municipalities, except for the cities of Madison and Milwaukee, to spread the cost of sizable manufacturing property tax refunds over five years.

The interest paid on manufacturing refunds would be tied to six-month U.S. treasury bills. According to the November 29, 2001 Wall Street Journal, the annual yield on a six-month U.S. treasury bill is 1.91%. Assuming a 2% annual rate of interest for a \$100,000 refund with interest accruing for one year, a refund paid in equal instalments over five years, the bill would result in the attached payment schedule.

Thus, the total interest paid under the bill would be \$6,080 over five years compared to \$9,600 ($\$100,000 \times (0.8\% \times 12 \text{ months})$ paid in one year under current law. Interest costs would rise as the discount rate for sixmonth treasury bills increases. For example, a discount rate of 5% on six-month U.S. treasury bills would result in total interest costs of approximately \$15,500 compared to \$9,600 under current law.

Municipalities would be reimbursed for interest on manufacturing property tax refunds resulting from a reduced valuation by a review authority. However, the state would not reimburse interest paid on refunds resulting from claims of unlawful tax or excessive assessment or for interest accrued after the date of the determination by the tax appeals commission. Thus, if a municipality chooses to refund manufacturing property taxes in instalments over five years, the state would reimburse the municipality for the first year's interest payment only.

Data are not available to estimate total interest costs statewide; however, the total state costs are not expected to be significant.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

AB 647: Payment Schedule for \$100,000 Refund 12 Months Interest, 5-instalments

	Outstanding		Annual		Instalment		
<u>Instalment</u>	Refund		nt Refund Interest Pay		rest Payment	<u>Amount</u>	
1	\$	100,000	\$	2,000	\$	21,216	
2		80,784		1,616		21,216	
3		61,184		1,224		21,216	
4		41,192		824		21,216	
5		20,800		<u>416</u>		<u>21,216</u>	
TOTAL:			\$	6,080	\$	106,080	

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detalled Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	01-3539/	1	Intro	duction Nur	nber <i>F</i>	AB-647
	ls of property	taxes impose					
annua	lized fiscal (епесі):	npacts for S	tate and/or I	∟ocal Governm	ent (do no	t include in
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized I	Fiscal Imp	act on funds from:
		_	 ,		Increased Cost	s	Decreased Costs
	te Costs by						
		- Salaries and	Fringes			<u> </u>	
H	Position Ch	<u> </u>					
-	al Assistance	- Other Costs					
\vdash			•	<u> </u>			
		s or Organizat					
				1		<u> </u>	\$
GPF		Source of Fu	nas			- 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FED				 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
)/PRS		<u> </u>				
	S/SEG-S		······································			_	
		- Complete t	hie only wh	on proposal	will increase a		state revenues
(e.g., t	ax increase,	decrease in	license fee,	en proposar ets.)	wiii increase o	r decrease	state revenues
					Increased Re	v	Decreased Rev
GPF	R Taxes					5	\$
GPF	R Earned						
FED							
)/PRS						
	SSEG-S						
L T	OTAL State					3	\$
	·····		NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT		
					<u>Stat</u>	=1	<u>Local</u>
	HANGE IN C			\$See t	ext of fiscal note	∍. \$Se	e text of fiscal note.
NEIC	HANGE IN F	KEVENUE				6	\$
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 Brian Pahnke (608) 266-2700			12/4/01				