Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Original ☐ Upd	ated Correc	cted	Supplemental			
LRB Number <b>01-3621/1</b>	Introductio	n Number A	B-586			
Subject						
Use of personal flotation devices						
Fiscal Effect						
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs - absorb within ag IN Yes Decrease Costs	May be possible to ency's budget			
Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs 4. Permissive Mandatory	Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue	Counties	Overnment  Village			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signatu	re	Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 26	66-2794	11/9/01			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 11/9/01

LRB Number	01-3621/1	Introduction Number	AB-586	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Use of persona	al flotation devices				

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Bill Summary: Currently, while boating, there must be a personal flotation device (PFD) for each person in the boat. Under this bill, a person may not operate a boat that is less than 26 feet in length with a motor of more than 25 horsepower unless, during the time the boat is under way, every person on the boat who is 12 years old or younger is wearing a personal flotation device or is in a cabin space or below the deck.

Fiscal Estimate: The fiscal impact of this bill is two part: a stepped up informational campaign that promotes the wearing of PFD's, and increased law enforcement presence to address non-compliance.

The informational campaign will require Law Enforcement Officers to spend extra time with each boater to explain the law. This will reduce the number of contacts made with boaters per year (approximately 70,000 under current staffing). In addition, this proposal will require public service announcements on radio and television. This is estimated to cost \$40,000 per year, but this cost will be offset by a reduction in other boating PSA's.

Approximately 70,000 contacts with boaters are made per year with current state law enforcement staffing. We estimate that there will be, at a minimum, a 5% non-compliance rate with this proposal, even with the informational outreach. That equates to 3,500 boaters, out of the 70,000 typically contacted, who will be in violation. (Law enforcement typically contacts 7%-10% of the 875,000 boaters in this state). If citations were issued to 10% of the people contacted and verbal warnings to the remaining 90%, then it would require 1.2 FTE worth of effort to carry out this proposal ((350 persons receiving citations x 1.50 hours per citation including court time/ 1830 hours per FTE)+ (3,150 persons receiving warnings x 0.50 hours per warning/1830 hours per FTE). The time needed to carry out this proposal will come from a redirection of current boating enforcement acitities.

One likely outcome from this legislation is that, after an initial period of enforcement and education, perhaps in the neighborhood of 1-2 years, accidents and fatalities related to this activity would decrease. This would have the effect of allowing both the boating PSA's and the boating enforcement hours to shift back to their current situation.

The costs to local units of government will be similar to the costs estimated by the department. There will be an increase in the time and effort spent on education and on enforcement of the new PDF laws, but this priority will be absorbed into the existing budget of the local governments.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

🛛 Original 🔲 Upda	ted 🖺 Co	orrected [	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>01-3621/1</b>	Introduc	Introduction Number AB-586			
Subject			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Use of personal flotation devices					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State and/or Loca	al Government (do	not include in		
annualized fiscal effect):		`			
II. Annualized Costs:	A	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from			
		reased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category			(***)		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	es	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this on	y when proposal will	increase or decre	ase state revenues		
(e.g., tax increase, decrease in license					
lonn-	<u>ln</u>	creased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned					
FED PROVIDE					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S			\$		
TOTAL State Revenues		\$			
NEI A	NNUALIZED FISCAL I		Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		<u>State</u>			
		\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Sign	nature	Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (60)	3) 266-2794	11/9/01		