Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

## Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

| Original Updated   | Corrected   | Supplemental                                 |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| LRB Number <b>01-3261/2</b>  | Introduction Number A   | B-449  |  |
| Subject  |   |  |  |
| Method of calculating child support  |   |  |  |
| Fiscal Effect  |   |  |  |
| Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Reversible Appropriations Create New Appropriations  Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permi 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Permi | absorb within age ase Existing nues  Decrease Costs  5.Types of Local Go Units Affected Towns ase Revenue ase Revenue ase Revenue ssive Mandatory ase Revenue ssive Mandatory ase Revenue ssive Mandatory ase Revenue ssive Mandatory | No  No  Village Cities Others WTCS Districts |  |
| Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations   |   |  |  |
| GPR FED PRO PRS  | SEG SEGS  |  |  |
| Agency/Prepared By   | Authorized Signature  | Date   |  |
| CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984   | Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984   | 11/9/01                                      |  |

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 11/9/01

| LRB Number <b>01-3261/2</b>         | Introduction Number | AB-449 | Estimate Type | Original |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------|----------|
| Subject                             |                     | ***    |               |          |
| Method of calculating child support |                     |        |               |          |

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Circuit courts presently use a percentage of income standard for determining child support. This bill cteates a new method for determining child support in family actions, including actions to revise child support. It also requires the clerk of circuit court to provide, without charge, to each person filling a petition requesting child support, a document explaining the new method.

It is expected that the new method of calculating child support would reduce the amount of child support paid by noncustodial parents. Accordingly, there is under this bill the potential for a significant increase in litigation in these cases. Also, because the new method is complicated hearings are expected to last longer. It is estimated that at least 50% of the family cases brought to circuit court are brought by self-represented litigants (in a 1999 survey it was found that 72% of the family cases in Milwaukee County involved self-represented litigants). Additional time of the clerk of court staff will be needed to answer questions that these litigants will have about the new method. The costs of the additional court and clerk time needed is impossible to predict with the data available. The costs of judge and court reporter time is borne by the state while other court staff time is borne by the county.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

| Original Updated   | Corrected                              | Supplemental               |  |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| LRB Number <b>01-3261/2</b>  | Introduction Num                       | ber <b>AB-449</b>          |  |
| Subject  |  |                            |  |
| Method of calculating child support  |  |                            |  |
| I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):                        | State and/or Local Governme            | ent (do not include in     |  |
| II. Annualized Costs:  | Annualized Fi                          | scal Impact on funds from: |  |
|  | Increased Costs                        | Decreased Costs            |  |
| A. State Costs by Category   |  |                            |  |
| State Operations - Salaries and Fringes  | \$                                     |                            |  |
| (FTE Position Changes)   |  |                            |  |
| State Operations - Other Costs   |  |                            |  |
| Local Assistance   |  |                            |  |
| Aids to Individuals or Organizations   |  |                            |  |
| TOTAL State Costs by Category  | \$                                     | \$                         |  |
| B. State Costs by Source of Funds  |  |                            |  |
| GPR  |  |                            |  |
| FED  |  |                            |  |
| PRO/PRS  |  |                            |  |
| SEG/SEG-S  |  |                            |  |
| III. State Revenues - Complete this only w<br>(e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee | , ets.)                                | decrease state revenues    |  |
|  | Increased Rev                          | Decreased Rev              |  |
| GPR Taxes  | \$                                     | \$                         |  |
| GPR Earned   |  |                            |  |
| FED  |  |                            |  |
| PRO/PRS  | —————————————————————————————————————— |                            |  |
| SEG/SEG-S  |  |                            |  |
| TOTAL State Revenues   | \$                                     | \$                         |  |
| NET ANNU   | ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT                   |                            |  |
| NET OLIANOF IN COOTS   | State                                  | Local                      |  |
| NET CHANGE IN COSTS  | \$+indeterminate                       | \$+indeterminate           |  |
| NET CHANGE IN REVENUE  | \$                                     | \$                         |  |
| Agency/Prepared By   | Authorized Signature                   | Date                       |  |
|  |  |                            |  |
| CTS/ Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-6984   | Sheryl Gervasi (608) 266-698           | 11/9/01                    |  |