Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supplemental								
LRB Number 01-0216/1	Introduction Number AB-430								
Subject									
Required recycled content of plastic containers									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Re	Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget venues Output Decrease Existing Decrease Costs								
No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Per 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others Crease Revenue Counties WTCS Districts Districts								
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations SEG SEGS 20.115(1)(a)								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date								
DATCP/ Jim Rabbitt (608) 224-4965 Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746									

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 6/7/01

LRB Number 01-0216/1	Introduction Number	AB-430	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject										
Required recycled content of plastic containers										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Key elements of this bill (1) increase minimum recycled content requirements for plastic containers under s. 100.297, Stats., from the current 10% level to 25% in 2005, phased in over four years, and (2) limit allowable recycled material to postconsumer waste.

Fiscal impacts associated with this bill will vary according to industry compliance levels and compliance monitoring and enforcement efforts by the Department. Currently, only two additional states - California and Oregon - have some sort of minimum recycled content requirements for plastic containers. However, little can be derived from their compliance and enforcement experiences to date.

In 1996, the Department conducted a survey of industry groups and manufacturers to gauge compliance with the current 10% minimum recycled content law. Letters were sent to 12 national industry groups and 100 product manufacturers requesting information on successes and obstacles for meeting the current plastic container recycled content requirement. This comprised only a small fraction of product and container manufacturers marketing and distributing inventory in the state. Compliance rates for surveyed products ranged from 20% for personal care items to 93% for household cleaners. Most product manufacturers in compliance were able to meet the current 10% standard due to allowances for regrind and other remanufactured material. Compliance obstacles were also identified for some product categories. Estimated costs for this survey were \$3,500 in LTE salaries, and \$1,500 in supplies and services. Costs were paid from Recycling Fund monies which ceased at the end of the last fiscal year.

The Department projects both higher and ongoing compliance monitoring costs associated with the changes proposed in this bill. Not included in this fiscal estimate are any costs associated with possible administrative rulemaking to develop standards for substantiation by container manufacturers to demonstrate compliance with the law.

The Department estimates few if any enforcement actions resulting in prosecutorial referral under the bill. Current law only prohibits the sale or offer for sale at retail of any product in a plastic container not meeting minimum recycled content requirements. However, evidence of compliance with minimum recycled content requirements must be obtained at the manufacturing level.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Minimally, the Department estimates the need for a 0.5 FTE regulatory specialist position to coordinate and implement a compliance monitoring and enforcement program. Costs associated with this position are estimated at \$23,800 in salary and fringe benefits, \$4,000 in supplies and services, and one-time costs of \$7,600.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	01-0216/	1	Intro	duction Nu	ımber /	AB-430			
Subject Require		ontent of plas	tic containers							
annual	lized fiscal e	ffect):	mpacts for St uter and telept		Local Govern	ment (do no	ot include in			
II. Ann	ualized Cos	te:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
					Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs			
A. Stat	e Costs by	Category								
State	Operations	- Salaries and	d Fringes		\$23,8	300				
(FTE	(FTE Position Changes)				(0.5 F7	ΓE)	7.1			
State	State Operations - Other Costs				4,000					
Loca	l Assistance					0				
Aids	to Individuals	s or Organizat	tions		0					
TO	OTAL State (Costs by Cat	egory		\$27,8	300	\$			
B. Stat	e Costs by S	Source of Fu	nds							
GPR					27,8	300				
FED										
PRO	/PRS									
SEG	/SEG-S									
III. Stat (e.g., ta	te Revenues ax increase,	- Complete t decrease in	his only whe license fee, e	n proposal ts.)	will increase	or decrease	e state revenues			
<u> </u>					Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev			
\vdash	Taxes				·	\$	\$			
\vdash	Earned	····								
FED										
	/PRS									
H	/SEG-S			:						
ITO	OTAL State F	Revenues				\$	\$			
		<u></u>	NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT					
		_1			Sta	<u>ate</u>	<u>Local</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$27,8	300						
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$							
_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***					
Agenc	Agency/Prepared By			Authorized	Signature		Date			
DATCP/ Jim Rabbitt (608) 224-4965 Bar				Barb Knapp	(608) 224-474	6/6/01				