Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Upda	ated 🔲 Correc	ted	Supplemental				
LRB Number 01-1141/1	Introductio	n Number Al	B-391				
Subject							
Incontinent underpads and briefs							
Fiscal Effect							
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues	☑ Increase Costs - absorb within age ☑ Yes ☑ Decrease Costs					
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School Districts Districts							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signatu	re	Date				
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	Dennis Collier (608)	Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 5/25/01					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 5/29/01

LRB Number 01-1141/1	Introduction Number	AB-391	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Incontinent underpads and brie	fs			

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, cloth diapers are exempt from sales and use tax; however, incontinent briefs, pads, shields and adult diapers are subject to tax. The bill creates a sales and use tax exemption for reusable or disposable incontinent underpads and briefs that are prescribed. While the bill does not specifically include "shields" and "adult diapers", it is assumed for purposes of estimating the fiscal effect that these products would be exempt; it is also assumed that "underpads" include pads worn under clothing as well as protective bed pads.

According to the Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services (DHFS), there was an average of 43,265 residents of nursing homes in Wisconsin in 1998 and 37% of all Wisconsin nursing homes were owned by nonprofit entities. Also according to DHFS, 43% of nursing home residents suffer from frequent to total incontinence. Assuming constant trends, it is assumed that approximately 7,000 [(43,265 residents x 37%) x 43%] residents of nonprofit nursing homes currently suffer from incontinence.

According to the National Association for Continence, an estimated 18 million people suffer from incontinence nationally, half of which seek medical attention for the condition. Assuming the number of Wisconsin sufferers of incontinence reflects the state's population share, there are an estimated 171,000 sufferers of incontinence in Wisconsin that seek medical attention [18 million x 1.9% population share x .5]. To the extent that residents of nonprofit nursing homes are currently exempt from sales and use tax for the consumption of incontinent products, an estimated 164,000 (171,000 - 7,000) Wisconsin residents that have sought medical attention for incontinence would be affected under the bill.

According to professionals specializing in incontinence, usage of such products can vary from 5 pads or briefs per day to 1 or 2 pads, depending on the severity of the condition. According to incontinent product information, products cost from \$1.00 to \$0.25 per item. Assuming a daily usage of 2 pads/briefs and assuming \$0.50 per pad/brief, an estimated \$59.9 million [164,000 x \$0.50 x 2 x 365 days] is spent annually on incontinent products.

Exempting these sales would result in a 3 million [59.9 million x 5%] decrease in sales and use tax revenue annually.

For FY02, county and stadium sales tax revenues are expected to be 7.064% of state sales tax. Thus, the revenue loss to local governments would be approximately \$212,000 (\$3 million x 7.064%).

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Dpdate	d	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 01-1141/1		Introduction Numb	oer AB-391	
Subject				
Incontinent underpads and briefs				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts f	or State	and/or Local Governmer	it (do not include in	
annualized fiscal effect):				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
		Increased Costs	Decreased Cost	
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$		
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	when plee, etc.	proposal will increase or d)	lecrease state revenues	
		Increased Rev	Decreased Re	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$-3,000,00	
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$-3,000,00	
NET AN	NUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		
		<u>State</u>	Loca	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-3,000,000	\$-\$212,00	
Agency/Prepared By Au		thorized Signature	Date	
DOR/ Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785 De		nnis Collier (608) 266-5773	5/25/01	