# Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental									
LRB Number <b>01-0411/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-365									
Subject										
Required recycled content of newsprint										
Fiscal Effect										
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Appropriations Create New Appropriations  Local:  No Local Government Costs Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Analy be possible to absorb within agency's budget appropriations  Decrease Existing Revenues  Decrease Existing Revenues  Sevenues  Sevenues  Tyes No Decrease Costs  Solution  Towns Village Cities Counties										
GPR FED PRO PRS	<u> </u>									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date									
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 05/17/2001									

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 05/17/2001

LRB Number <b>01-0411/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-365	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject								
Required recycled content of newsprint								

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

#### Bill Summary:

Under current law, the publisher of a newspaper is generally required to pay a newspaper recycling fee based on the volume or newsprint used by the publisher unless the newsprint on which the newspaper is printed contains a specified minimum percentage of fiber derived from postconsumer waste. From 1998 to 2000, the specified minimum percentage is 33%. For 2001 and 2002, the specified minimum percentage is 37%. For 2003 and thereafter, the specified minimum percentage is 40%.

Under this bill, the specified minimum percentage of fiber from postconsumer waste for newsprint used in newspapers is 33%, with no future increases.

#### Fiscal Estimate:

- 1. One-time costs to state government to revise market development fact sheets, forms, and other documents which mention the standards in s. 287.31, Wisc. Stats. would be approximately \$1,000 from recycling SEG.
- 2. The state would forego as much as \$2,000 in potential revenues in the next biennium. Newspaper publishers are required to pay a fee if their annual total recycled content falls below the target for the year. However, the fees are token amounts, clearly not intended as a revenue generating mechanism and it is not difficult to qualify for an exception when recycled content paper is unobtainable.

In 1990, when the required recycled content was 33%, 10 publishers/printers were not able to meet the requirement. In 2000, only 4 publishers/printers were not able to meet the required 33%. In 2000, fees totalling \$570 were owed by the publishers/printers unable to meet the required percentage. The average post-consumer recycled content reported in 2000 was 44.14%. Using the data from 2000, the Department estimates that a 37% standard in 2002 would double the number of publishers/printers who are unable to meet the required 37%. This would result in an increase in the fines owed by publishers to approximately \$1,000. Given the past performance of publishers/printers adjusting to the required recycled content, the estimated raise to 44% in 2003 would also result in \$1,000 in fines.

Therefore, this proposal to freeze the minimum percentage of fiber would result in a revenue reduction of approximately \$1,000 annually for the first few years. It is assumed that over time, the publishers/printers would be able to adjust to the set percentage and there would be no fines collected.

### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

The public policy objective under this stature is not to maximize revenue but rather to reenforce and sustain the demand for market incentives to use recycled content and the demand for old newspaper from local collection programs.

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		C	orrected		Supp	lemental	
LRB I	LRB Number 01-0411/1 Introduction Number AB-365									
Subjec	t								•	
Require	d recycled co	ontent of new	sprint							
		r Revenue In	npacts for S	tate	and/or Loca	al Gover	nment (	do not inclu	de in	
annuali	ized fiscal e	пест):								
\$1,000										
II. Annı	II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
					Inc	reased (	Costs	Decr	eased Costs	
	Costs by C									
		Salaries and	Fringes			· .	\$			
<del></del>	Position Cha									
		Other Costs		$\dashv$						
	Assistance			_						
	<del></del>	or Organizat	******	_						
		osts by Cate					\$		\$	
B. State	Costs by S	ource of Fur	nds					<u>.</u>		
GPR								<del></del>		
FED		,								
PRO/	PRS									
SEG/	SEG-S									
		- Complete t decrease in l				increas	e or dec	rease state	revenues	
(0.9., 10			,	Ť		ncreased	Rev	Dec	reased Rev	
GPR	Taxes			コ			\$	\$		
GPR	Earned			一						
FED								,		
PRO/	PRS									
SEG/	SEG/SEG-S						-1,000			
ТС	TOTAL State Revenues						\$	\$-1,000		
			NET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISCAL	IMPACT				
							<u>State</u>		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$-1,000			\$				
Agency	Agency/Prepared By Autl				thorized Signature				Date	
DNR/ J	oe Polasek (6	608) 266-279	4	Joe	e Polasek (608) 266-2794				05/17/2001	