Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

☐ Original ☑ Updated	Corrected Suppler	nental	
LRB Number 01-1742/1	Introduction Number AB-237		
Subject			
Modify ethonal subsidy program			
Fiscal Effect			
Appropriations Revolutions Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues Increase Costs - May be p absorb within agency's but Yes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Governmen Units Affected Towns Village Towns Counties Others School Districts Districts	dget No t	
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriation SEG SEGS 20.115(1)(d) for Aids, 20.115(1) admin.		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date	
DATCP/ Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747	Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746 04/23/2001		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 04/24/2001

LRB Number 01-1742/1	Introduction Number	AB-237	Estimate Type	Updated
Subject				
Modify ethonal subsidy program				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would make the appropriation for aids payments to ethanol producers a sum sufficient appropriation, in effect increasing the appropriation.

The bill also limits the amount of aids payments to any ethanol producer, to 20 cents per gallon for up to 15 million gallons within 12 months, or a maximum of \$3 million.

Administration of this program, either with or without the changes presented in this bill, involves some minor costs to DATCP DATCP staff may need to audit the applications for truthfulness and accuracy, but the time commitment for each individual ethanol producer should be small enough to absorb into the department's general duties.

Under current law, DATCP expects that initially a limited number of ethanol producers will take advantage of this program. However, if this bill is enacted, it may induce additional investment in the ethanol production industry. In turn, DATCP would have to commit additional time to this program.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Potential ethanol plants are in Monroe, Elba, Stanley, La Crosse, Oshkosh, and Menomonie.

At this time, the expectation is for the following:

In 2002. 1 plant at \$3,000,000.

In 2003, an additional 2 to 4 plants at an additional \$6,000,000 to \$12,000,000.

In 2004, 1 additional plant at \$3,000,000.

Total -- 6 plants at \$18,000,000.

The costs shown in the fiscal estimate worksheet are the maximum annual Aids and state operations costs during the next bionnium. Total Aids paid after all the plants listed above have gone into production is estimated at \$18,000,000.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Dpdate	d	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 01-1742/1		Introduction Numb	er AB-237		
Subject Modify ethonal subsidy program					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	or State	e and/or Local Governmen	t (do not include in		
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		74 2 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 1 ,000			
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		12,000,000	an galan jaran		
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$12,001,000	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR		12,001,000			
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license t	when pee, ets	.)			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned					
FED			<u>,</u>		
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$		
NET AN	NUALIZ	ZED FISCAL IMPACT			
		<u>State</u>	Loca		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$12,001,000	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$ [\$		
Agency/Prepared By	Δ,	thorized Signature	Date		
DATCP/ Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747	ı	rb Knapp (608) 224-4746	04/23/2001		