

Eighty-Eighth Regular Session

WEDNESDAY, December 2, 1987

The chief clerk makes the following entries under the above date.

State of Wisconsin
Office of the Governor

November 25, 1987

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 445

Relating to attorney fees in fair employment cases.

By Senators Ellis, Kreul, Buettner and Leean, sponsored by Representatives Schneiders, Zeuske, Walling, Schmidt and Huelsman.

To committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin
Wisconsin Housing and
Economic Development Authority

November 30, 1987

To the Honorable the Legislature

I am pleased to transmit to you the following report:
**CREDIT RELIEF OUTREACH PROGRAM
MONTHLY REPORT**

I would appreciate your including this letter in the Journal for the information of the membership. Additional copies of this report are available upon request from the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA), 1 South Pinckney, Suite 500, or by calling (608) 266-7884.

Sincerely,
RICHARD J. LONGABAUGH
Executive Director

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin
Office of the Governor

November 27, 1987

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bills, originating in the senate, have been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State:

Senate Bill	Act No.	Date Approved
350-----	96 -----	November 25, 1987
105-----	116 -----	November 25, 1987
187-----	117 -----	November 25, 1987
246-----	118 -----	November 25, 1987
336part veto-----	119 -----	November 25, 1987
355-----	120 -----	November 25, 1987
7-----	132 -----	November 27, 1987

Respectfully,
TOMMY G. THOMPSON
Governor

To the Honorable, the Senate:

I have approved Senate Bill 336 as Wisconsin Act 119 and deposited it in the Office of the Secretary of State. In approving the bill I have also exercised my partial veto authority in several instances.

I have vetoed the language in section 565.32(3)(b) (and a related program responsibility change) requiring the Attorney General to approve all informational advertising done by the lottery board. Even after the veto the lottery board could request an Attorney General's opinion on any advertising if it wished. The requirement in Senate Bill 336 was unnecessary and administratively cumbersome.

Section 20.195(1)(u) contains a date-specific deadline, August 20, for accounting transactions. I have vetoed this date since it does not coincide with the state's normal accounting schedule of reconciling accounts on August 31 and publishing the annual fiscal report on October 15 of each year.

The lottery implementation bill also contains a requirement for the creation of a trust fund of lottery proceeds and a property tax credit on the state income tax forms (sections 20.835(2)(d), 25.75(3), 71.55, 71.65(1)(L), 73.03(35), 565.02(6) and section 37 of the bill). I have vetoed both these requirements. While the state constitution requires that lottery proceeds be used for property tax relief, I do not believe that the trust fund and the income tax credit are the best means to provide property tax relief to Wisconsin's citizens. In January I will be proposing a comprehensive approach to property tax relief and the lottery proceeds will be incorporated into this unified approach.

Sections 565.02(1)(a) and (c) provide that the initial lottery director is appointed by the Governor and that subsequent directors are appointed by the board. Since the results of the lottery, for good or for ill, will reflect directly upon the Governor, I believe that the state's chief executive ought to have the authority to appoint the head of the lottery agency. My partial veto modifies the bill to achieve this end.

The conflict of interests section of the bill (section 565.05(1)(c)) was also partially vetoed. The intent of the veto is to allow individuals who hold state "positions" and are otherwise qualified to also serve as board members or employees of the lottery agency. The bill would have prevented this dual service to the state.

I found the procurement language of Senate Bill 336 to be administratively troublesome in two instances. Section 565.25(2)(a)4 would require a separate procurement process for telecommunication services. However, since telecommunication services are an integral part of on-line games (which is also required to be a separate procurement process), it may be more economical and more reasonable to allow the board to combine those two procurements. Therefore, I have

vetoed that section so that it allows the board the discretion of whether to have separate bids or not. Secondly, I have partially vetoed that definition of a "major procurement" in section 565.01(4), to delete consulting services so that such services are not exempt from normal state procurement procedures.

I would like to compliment the two select committees, the standing committees and the legislature as a whole for the excellent work done on developing this piece of legislation. Overall, Senate Bill 336 represents a serious, well-balanced, bi-partisan effort. Every attempt has been made by the legislature to ensure a "squeaky clean" and profitable lottery for Wisconsin and its property taxpayers. It provides for studies of the feasibility of providing compulsive gambling assistance from lottery revenues and of the effects of the lottery on the income of state residents. It is the intent of these studies to mitigate or eliminate potentially negative social effects of the lottery.

I have exercised partial vetoes: (1) to coordinate the constitutionally mandated property tax relief with other property tax relief initiatives, (2) to make the lottery easier to administer, (3) to add further assurances of a clean and honest operation, and (4) to provide the board with flexibility to administer the agency.

A majority of the state's voters have requested an honest, entertaining and revenue producing state lottery; Senate Bill 336 with my vetoes represents state government's best effort to give the citizens what they asked for in April. I am pleased to be able to sign this bill into law.

Respectfully,
TOMMY THOMPSON
Governor

SENATE CLEARINGHOUSE ORDERS

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 87-74

Relating to the use of an alias or pseudonym or the use of data processing procedures.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Banking.

Report received from agency, November 30, 1987.

Referred to committee on Aging, Banking, Commercial Credit and Taxation, December 2, 1987.

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 87-95

Relating to evaluation of foreign dental education and unprofessional conduct.

Submitted by Department of Regulation and Licensing.

Report received from agency, November 25, 1987.

Referred to committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services, December 2, 1987.

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 87-117

Relating to participation loan parity for Wisconsin-chartered credit unions with federally chartered credit unions.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Credit Unions.

Report received from agency, December 1, 1987.

Referred to committee on Economic Development, Financial Institutions and Fiscal Policies, December 2, 1987.

The committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services reports and recommends:

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 87-88

Relating to inspection of mail to and from inmates of adult correctional institutions.

Objection:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Moen, Jauch, Feingold, Te Winkle and Rude;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Harsdorf.

RODNEY C. MOEN

Chair

Read and referred to Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules.

The committee on Education reports and recommends:

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 87-119

Relating to application and renewal fees required of for-profit postsecondary schools.

No Action taken

JOSEPH J. CZARNEZKI

Chair